

LETTER TO THE EDITOR

Erratum: Moon Phases and Online Tests of Precognition¹

Julia Mossbridge

University of San Diego

This erratum corrects errors in the Letter to the Editor titled *Moon Phases and Online Tests of Precognition* (Mossbridge, 2024). Because of a copy-paste error undetected until recently, the statistics for the second data batch reported in that letter were in fact the statistics for the two data batches combined.

The correct statistics for the second data batch are as follows: As in the first batch, in the second participants were more likely than expected by chance to instigate a trial during the last quarter/waning crescent phases, and less likely than chance to do so during the full/waning gibbous phases (batch 2, $n = 1,207$; last qtr/waning crescent: $.37, p < 1 \times 10^{-6}$ [binomial test]; full/waning gibbous: $.16, p < 1 \times 10^{-6}$ [binomial test]). Also as in the first batch, in the second during the moon phases when participants were more likely to choose to instigate a trial, they were more likely to perform better than during moon phases when they were less likely to instigate a trial, though this difference was not significant (batch 2, last qtr/waning crescent: 223 hits, 224 misses, ratio = 1.00; full/waning gibbous: 92 hits, 107 misses, ratio = .86; $\chi^2_{(2, n=646)} = .74, p > .39$). The conclusions of the original letter are not changed by these statistics, because the performance pattern and the accuracy pattern were both maintained through both data batches, even though in the second batch the accuracy pattern was not significant.

Reference

Mossbridge, J. (2024). Moon phases and online tests of precognition [Letter to the Editor]. *Journal of Anomalous Experience and Cognition*, 4(1), 142-143. doi.org/10.31156/jaex.26006

¹ Address correspondence to: Julia Mossbridge, Ph. D., jmossbridge@gmail.com