#### MARTEINN H. SIGURÐSSON

# bera tilt með tveim

# On Lokasenna 38 and the One-Handed Týr

I. In the eddic poem Lokasenna, the deities attend a feast hosted by the sea-giant Ægir. The mischievous Loki, who arrives unwelcome, insults each of the guests in turn, and the altercations between him and them constitute an extensive caricature of the pantheon. Lokasenna is preserved only in the Codex Regius of the Elder or Poetic Edda, an Icelandic vellum written c. 1270. The poem's 65 stanzas are all in dialogue form, but a prose preface describes the setting of the feast and lists the gods and goddesses present. Among them is Týr, who is described as follows: 'Týr var þar, hann var einhendr. Fenrisúlfr sleit hond af hánum, bá er hann var bundinn.'2 Týr quarrels with Loki in stanzas 37-40. and we shall review their exchange before turning to the main topic of this study, which is stanza 38 and the meaning of the phrase bera tilt með tveim in particular. Týr is a relatively minor god in the extant mythology, and Lokasenna 38 raises basic questions about his nature and place within the Norse pantheon as it was perceived by medieval Christians and their pagan forbears. Loki is the speaker in stanza 38. and the subject of the stanza's first half is Týr's alleged inability to bera tilt með tveim, whereas the latter half alludes to Týr's loss of his

Sigurðsson, M. H., Dr., Reykjavík. "bera tilt með tveim: On Lokasenna 38 and the One-Handed Týr", ANF 121 (2006), pp. 139-160.

Abstract: In stanza 38 of the eddic poem Lokasenna, Loki insults the god Týr. The subject of the stanza's first half is Týr's inability to bera tilt með tveim, and the latter half alludes to his loss of the hægri hond 'right hand (or arm)' to the wolf Fenrir. The sense of bera tilt með tveim is reconsidered here along with the problem of how the stanza's two halves relate to each other and whether the stanza pertains to Týr's martial aspect or his alleged role as a 'law-god'. It is argued that Lokasenna 38 concerns Týr's martial nature and that it relies on a wordplay whereby it is implied that Týr is unable bera 'bear, carry' peace between two parties or foes since his 'more peaceable' hand is wanting.

Keywords: Lokasenna, Týr, the Poetic Edda, Old Norse mythology

For more information on the Codex Regius see Einar G. Pétursson 1993, 100-1.

 $<sup>^2</sup>$  Neckel and Kuhn 1983, 96. 'Tŷr was there. He was one-handed; Fenrisúlfr tore a hand from him when he was bound.'

right hand to the wolf Fenrir. The meaning of bera tilt með tveim is disputed as is the question of how the stanza's halves relate to each other. Does the first half concern Týr's martial nature, which is illustrated in Snorra Edda (see below) and evidently reflected also in the equation of Týr with Mars in Old Norse (ON) literature and týsdagr = dies Martis (Tuesday)? Or can the stanza be construed in such a way as to support the prevalent theory that Týr was something of a 'law-god' and a god of oaths or legal contract in particular? And how exactly might Týr's maiming pertain to his martial or (supposed) legal aspect?

### II. In Lokasenna 37, Týr interjects in defense of the god Freyr:

[37] Týr qvað:

'Freyr er beztr mey hann né grœtir allra ballriða né mannz kono,

ása gorðom í; oc leysir ór hoptom hvern.'<sup>3</sup>

In response, Loki taunts Týr for his loss of the right hand; the wolf Fenrir tore it off, and since Loki is Fenrir's father,<sup>4</sup> his words might in part be a malicious boast:

[38] Loki *qvað*:

'Pegi þú, Týr! handar innar hægri þú kunnir aldregi mun ec hinnar geta, bera tilt með tveim; er þér sleit Fenrir frá.'<sup>5</sup>

Týr then concedes he has lost a hand, but he is quick to add that Loki has lost his son Hróðrsvitnir (Fenrir), who must await in bonds the 'twilight of the gods':

[39] Týr *qvað*:

'Handar em ec vanr, úlfgi hefir oc vel, enn þú Hróðrsvitnis, er í bondom skal bol er beggia þrá; bíða ragna rocrs.'<sup>6</sup>

 $<sup>^3</sup>$  Neckel and Kuhn 1983, 104. [37] 'Týr said: "Freyr is the best / of all bold riders / within the Æsir's walls; / he makes no maiden weep, / nor any man's wife, / and he frees everyone from their bonds."

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> In Lokasenna 10 (as well as Haustlong 8), Loki is defined as úlfs faðir 'the wolf's father'. He is also said to be the father of Fenrir in Gylfaginning 34 and Skáldskaparmál 16 of Snorra Edda. Chapter numbers in Gylfaginning and Skáldskaparmál follow the editions of A. Faulkes (see bibliography).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> Neckel and Kuhn 1983, 104. [38] Loki said: "Be silent, Týr! / You could never / bera tilt með tveim; / the right hand, / that one I shall mention, / which Fenrir tore from you."

 $<sup>^6</sup>$  Neckel and Kuhn 1983, 104. [39] 'Týr said: "I lack a hand, / and you Hróðrsvitnir

Finally, Loki insults Týr by claiming to have sired a son on his wife, adding that Tyr never received the slightest compensation for this disgrace:

[40] Loki *qvað*:

'Þegi þú, Týr! oln né penning bat varð þinni kono, hafðir þú þess aldregi vanréttis, vesall.'7 at hon átti mog við mér:

The alleged adultery is not known from other sources, and neither Týr's wife nor her son is provided with a name or mentioned elsewhere. Indeed, false accusations and boasts are not uncommon in ON slanging matches (sennur) and libel verses (níð or flím), and so Týr may be an uncompensated cuckold simply for the sake of satire, as some critics have suggested.9 The poet could in this respect have invented freely, but Týr's maiming is another matter. Týr acknowledges his loss of a hand, and the myth of his maining is related in Snorra Edda (see below), where Týr's lack of one hand appears to be his primary distinction as in Lokasenna (with its prose preface).

III. Aside from Lokasenna with its prose preface, Týr's maiming is only explained in Snorra Edda, a treatise on the art of poetry ascribed to the Icelander Snorri Sturluson (1179-1241). Snorri recounts the myth twice in his Edda, in Gylfaginning 25 and 34, and Týr is otherwise a rather obscure figure in the mythology. 10 Snorri appears to have known

<sup>[&#</sup>x27;Fame's wolf' = Fenrir] / — both of us suffer a loss; / nor is the wolf at ease, / who must in bonds abide / the twilight of the gods."' There is a lapse in the alliteration of the 4th and 5th lines. For emendations see von See et al. 1997, 464 and Dronke 1997, 341 and 365-6.

Neckel and Kuhn 1983, 104. [40] 'Loki said: "Be silent, Týr! / It befell your wife / that she had a son with me; / neither an ell [of woolen cloth] nor a penny piece / did you ever get / for this injustice, you wretch."

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup> The general accuracy of Loki's accusations is discussed in McKinnell 1987-88,

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>34–37.

See, for example: Olsen 1960, 33–4; McKinnell 1987–88, 248; and Dronke 1997, 365. Regarding standard fines for such marital offences in the Saga Age see Jochens 1996, 147-53. A pun on oln ('ell', but also 'forearm') in Lokasenna 40 has been suggested by Söderberg 1987, 29. For further comments on the stanza see von See et al. 1997, 379 and 465-66.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>10</sup> The one-handed Týr is associated with only three or four other mythic events: 1) Týr is simply listed among the gods who entertain Ægir to a feast at the beginning of Skáldskaparmál; 2) he is also present at Ægir's own feast in Skáldskaparmál 33 and Lokasenna; 3) in Gylfaginning 51. Týr fights alongside the gods at Ragnarok, when he and the monstrous hound Garmr will slay one another; and 4) Týr is also assumed to be

Lokasenna in some form or another, and most probably its prose preface too, but it remains in dispute how much older than his treatise Lokasenna can be. In any case, since the Codex Regius was written some fifty years after Snorra Edda, and Snorri was writing some 220–30 years after the Christian conversion of Iceland, it is of course doubtful to what extent these sources reflect pagan ideas about Týr.

'Týr' replaces Mars in ON translations of Latin literature as well as in *týsdagr = dies Martis* (Tuesday). Týr is defined as an *einhendr áss* 'a one-handed *áss* [god]' and *víga guð* 'battle-god' in *Skáldskaparmál* 9 of *Snorra Edda*, and he is moreover portrayed as a one-handed and distinctly martial god in *Gylfaginning* 25:

Hár segir: 'Sá er enn Áss er Týr heitir. Hann er djarfastr ok bezt hugaðr ok hann ræðr mjok sigri í orrostum. Á hann er gott at heita hreystimonnum. Þat er orðtak at sá er "týhraustr" er um fram er aðra menn ok ekki sésk fyrir. Hann var vitr svá at þat er mælt at sá er "týspakr" er vitr er. Þat er eitt mark um djarfleik hans, þá er Æsir lokkuðu Fenrisúlf til þess at leggja fjoturinn á hann, Gleipni, þá trúði hann þeim eigi at þeir mundu leysa hann fyrr en þeir logðu honum at veði hond Týrs í munn úlfsins. En þá er Æsir vildu eigi leysa hann þá beit hann hondina af þar er nú heitir úlfliðr, ok er hann einhendr ok ekki kallaðr sættir manna. <sup>13</sup>

the son of the giant Hymir in the eddic poem *Hymiskviða*, i.e. the *týr* of stanza 4 who helps Þórr obtain Hymir's cauldron, but there are reasons to suspect that this *týr* is the common noun meaning 'god' and that Þórr's companion is in fact Loki. On this last point see Marteinn H. Sigurðsson, 'Þórr's Travel Companion in *Hymiskviða*', *Gripla* 16 (2005), 197–208.

<sup>11</sup> For further discussion of the poem and its dating see von See et al. 1997, 363–84. One indication that Snorri knew and used *Lokasenna* is a stanza in *Gylfaginning* 20 that apparently stems from the poem though it looks like a conflation of sts. 29 (lines 1 and 4–6), 21 (lines 1–2) and 47 (line 3); the discrepancies may be due to Snorri's faulty memory or familiarity with a different version of the poem, though it might perhaps also be argued that Snorri adapted these lines from the poem as we know it. See Dronke 1997, 348.

<sup>12</sup> There is no need to list here all the instances where 'Týr' translates Mars in ON literature. For a discussion of the Germanic weekdays see Green 1998, 236–53. Also Seip 1957, 611–16.

13 Faulkes 1988, 25. 'Hár said: "There is also an Áss called Týr. He is the boldest and most courageous, and he has much command over victory in battles; it is good for men of prowess to invoke him. There is the expression that he who surpasses other men and does not falter is "Týr-brave". He was so intelligent that he who is intelligent is said to be "Týr-wise". One sign of his bravery is that when the Æsir were enticing Fenrisúlfr to get the fetter Gleipnir on him, then he did not trust them to release him until they laid Týr's hand into the mouth of the wolf as a gage; and when the Æsir would not release him he bit the hand off at what is now called the wolf-joint [= wrist], and he is one-handed and not called a reconciler of men.'

Gylfaginning 34 gives a far more detailed account of Fenrir's binding. but very little of all the information added concerns Týr directly. Týr's courage explains why only he was willing to feed the wolf: 'Úlfinn fœddu Æsir heima, ok hafði Týr einn djarfleik til at ganga at úlfnum ok gefa honum mat.'14 When the wolf agrees to try on the fetter Gleipnir, he demands: 'leggi einnhverr hond sína í munn mér at veði at betta sé falslaust gert', 15 and only Týr is willing (or brave enough) to wager his hand:

> En hverr Ásanna sá til annars ok þótti nú vera tvau vandræði ok vildi engi sína hond fram selja fyrr en Týr lét fram hond sína hægri ok leggr í munn úlfinum. En er úlfrinn spyrnir, þá harðnaði bandit, ok því harðara er hann brauzk um, því skarpara var bandit. Þá hlógu allir nema Týr. Hann lét họnd sína. 16

Týr offers his hand to Fenrir as a veð in both of Snorri's accounts. ON veð (like vedjun) means 'pledge, gage, wager, stake (in a wager), pawn', 17 and to leggja (eitthvat) at veði means simply 'to wager, pawn, pledge (something)', as does the verb *veðleggja* (note also *veðja* 'to wager, bet'). 18 It is difficult to detect anything martial about the episode, and yet Snorri presents Týr's maiming as the prime example of his djarfleikr 'courage. valour', which Snorri appears to regard as an aspect of Týr's martial nature. The final sentence of Gylfaginning 25 may reflect this connection between Týr's maiming and martial nature: 'ok er hann einhendr ok ekki kallaðr sættir manna' ('and he is one-handed and not called a reconciler of men'). The link is somewhat tenuous, to be sure, and some critics have in fact postulated a very different connection between Týr's maiming and reputation as no reconciler of men, but before turning to these theories one might consider whether Snorri was influenced here by Lokasenna 38 and the words bera tilt með tveim in particular.

15 Faulkes 1988, 28. 'someone should place his hand into my mouth as a gage that this is done without deceit'.

<sup>17</sup> Ásgeir Blöndal Magnússon 1989, 1112; Fritzner III 1886–96, 882–3; and Cleasby and Guðbrandur Vigfússon 1957, 687. Also Sigfús Blöndal 1920-4, 917.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>14</sup> Faulkes 1988, 27. 'The Æsir brought the wolf up at home, and Týr alone had the courage to approach the wolf and give it food."

<sup>16</sup> Faulkes 1988, 27-9. 'But each of the Æsir looked at another and found that their troubles had now doubled, and none would offer their hand until Týr put forth his right hand and placed it in the wolf's mouth. And when the wolf kicked, the band grew harder, and the harder he struggled, the tougher became the band. Then they all laughed except for Týr; he lost his hand.'

<sup>18</sup> Note also veðmáli 'pledge, mortgage', which has the corresponding verbs veðmæla and vedsetja. On medieval Norse gages or sureties see Hamre 1975, 608-11.

IV. The meaning of bera tilt með tveim is uncertain since tilt is not found in other ON texts. The word is usually thought to be the neuter of \*tilr, an adjective related to Old English (OE) til, which could mean 'good, kind, gentle', but also 'apt, capable, competent' as well as 'excellent', and whose neuter appears in the sense 'use, service, convenience' as well as 'goodness, kindness'. Stanza 34 of the eddic poem Helgakviða Hundingsbana I contains another instance of bera e-t með e-m, where the preposition með means 'between' or 'among' and the verb bera 'carry, bear' is used figuratively with the object sakrúnar 'runes of strife':

einn veldr Óðinn þvíat með siflungom ollo bolvi, sacrúnar bar. 21

This recalls 'borðuz ér bræðr ungir, / báruz róg milli'<sup>22</sup> in *Atlamál* in grænlenzku 97 (another eddic poem), and the phrase bera e-t milli/millum e-ra/e-rra is commonly used in ON prose in the meaning 'convey, carry (lies, slander, settlement proposals, etc.) from (sby) to (sby) or backwards and forwards between (people)'.<sup>23</sup>

The object of *bera* can hardly mean anything like 'strife', 'spite' or 'slander' in *Lokasenna* 38. On the contrary, if *tilt* is the neuter of an adjective \**tilr* and related to OE *til*, then Loki's accusation must rather mean (essentially) 'du konntest niemals etwas Gutes zwischen zweien vermitteln'. <sup>24</sup> However, since *með tveim* 'between (or 'among') two' implies the mediation of 'something good' between two persons or parties or foes, a more specific sense like 'goodwill' or 'peace' seems more apposite, and that is how many critics have understood *tilt* in its context. <sup>25</sup>

In stanza 37, Týr enters an argument between Njorðr and Loki where Týr comes to the defense of Njorðr's son Freyr, and so Loki's riposte might be taken to mean something like: 'Du bist nicht geeig-

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>19</sup> See Bosworth and Toller 1898, 984. On Gothic *ga-tils* see Köbler 1989, 526. Also Kousgård Sørensen 1958, 121–2.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>20</sup> As pointed out in von See et al. 1997, 460–61.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>21</sup> Neckel and Kuhn 1983, 158. 'Óðinn alone causes / all the suffering; / for he brings runes of strife / between kinsmen.'

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>22</sup> Neckel and Kuhn 1983, 261. 'you young brothers fought each other, / brought strife between yourselves'.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>23</sup> Ordbog over det norrøne prosasprog. A Dictionary of Old Norse Prose 2, 197.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>24</sup> von See et al. 1997, 460.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>25</sup> See, for example, Bugge 1881–1896, 119; Gering 1923, 180; Finnur Jónsson 1926, 476; Guðni Jónsson 1954, 152; Ólafur Briem 1985, 236; Ásgeir Blöndal Magnússon 1989, 1041; Page 1995, 199; Gísli Sigurðsson 1998, 128.

net, zwischen mir und Niorðr zu vermitteln, denn du hast nie einen Streit schlichten können'. 26 The general accusation 'you could never bring goodwill (or peace) between two men (or foes)' agrees in any case remarkably well with the final sentence on Týr in Gylfaginning 25 (see above), which Lokasenna 38 might in fact have elicited since Loki appears to be speaking of Týr's inability to reconcile two foes in conjunction with his lack of one hand: 'Pú kunnir aldregi / bera tilt með tveim; / handar innar hægri [...]'.

V. Týr's reputation as no sættir manna can hardly be divorced from his martial nature. Snorri appears to conclude Gylfaginning 25 with an understatement to the effect that Týr is a promoter of strife, a characteristic that of course befits his bellicose nature, but Dumézil has construed Snorri's words rather differently. Dumézil would have us think that Týr lost his hand in a necessary perjury and that his maiming reflects his function as the primal Germanic dieu juriste in 'a pessimistic view of the law, directed not toward reconciliation among the parties, but toward the crushing of some by the others. Tyr "is not called a peacemaker.",<sup>27</sup> Týr's injury is thus taken to be symbolic of his function as a 'law-god', and Dumézil regards Týr's reputation as no sættir manna as an aspect of this (alleged) function of the god within a culture whose legal procedures were (allegedly) governed by the pessimistic attitude that might is right, that law is essentially a form of war.<sup>28</sup>

A peace-maker or umpire could be called sáttarmaðr or sættarmaðr in ON, and such men were collectively referred to as góðviljamenn or góðgjarnir menn 'men of goodwill'. 29 In Skáldskaparmál 53, Snorri lists sættir manna as a poetic periphrase or kenning for holdr 'yeoman' and hofdingi 'chieftain', which no doubt reflects the idea that free farmers and chieftains could by virtue of their station settle disputes and uphold peace,<sup>30</sup> and sættir + the genitive of a poetic noun meaning

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>26</sup> von See et al. 1997, 461.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>27</sup> Dumézil 1973, 45.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>28</sup> Dumézil seems to believe that the pagan Germani perceived some sort of analogy between legal meetings and battle, or that they even confused the two activities, but the 'evidence' adduced for this peculiar theory appears flimsy and wilfully interpreted (as in appeals to the kenning sverdbing 'sword-meeting' = 'battle'). See Dumézil 1973,

<sup>44–5.</sup>  $$^{29}$$  For further discussion of such arbitrators and terms used for them see Byock 1982, 110, 218-19, and 260.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>30</sup> One might also note Snorri's comment on the term *ljónar* in *Skáldskaparmál* 65: 'Ljónar heita þeir menn er ganga um sættir manna.' Faulkes 1998, 106. 'Men who undertake settlements of disputes are called ljónar.'

'men' (like sættir fira, gumna, bragna etc.) was indeed used to denote 'king, ruler' in ON poetry, where the referent could be a saintly bishop or Christ as well as a secular ruler.<sup>31</sup> Clearly, sættir manna could therefore define a patron of goodwill or peace and law and order, but Snorri avers that Týr is not called a sættir manna, and so it seems unlikely that he considered law and order to be Týr's special domain.

Lorenz has tried to reconcile Snorri with Dumézil's theory that Týr was the original Germanic law-god. He seems to think that the (supposed) law-god lost his legal capacity when he lost his hand to Fenrir: 'Die Bemerkung, daß Týrerekki kallaðr sættir manna hat für die Mythologie zentrale Bedeutung - gerade der Gott, der für den Bereich des Rechts zuständig ist, vermag seine Funktion nicht (mehr) zu erfüllen'. 32 Dumézil does indeed suppose that Týr 'slipped' at some early stage from the function of 'sovereign-lawyer' to mere 'warrior', though he does not (or so it seems) imagine this supposed demotion to be the result of the god's mutilation: 'Perhaps it was not in order to become the divine lawyer that Tyr lost his right hand, but it was at the very least because he was the lawyer that he, alone among the gods, was the one who did in fact lose his hand.'33 Strutynski seeks to elucidate Týr's alleged glissement from law to war by postulating a transformation of Germanic morality: 'Apparently the moral consciousness of the Germans was developed to so high a point that they would not tolerate a god of justice who violated the precepts of his own function.'34 Understandably, von See is skeptical about the Dumézilian treatment of Týr: 'Die Gegensätzlichkeit dieser Begründungen fürht allein schon die ganze Theorie ad absurdum.<sup>35</sup>

As previously noted, Snorri presents Týr's injury as the prime example of his courage, which Snorri evidently regards as an aspect of the god's bellicose character, and it appears only natural that such a brave and belligerent god is no *sættir manna*. That seems to go without saying, in fact, and so Snorri appears to have rounded up his account of the god with an understatement or litotes. One might consider here for comparison the description of one Tannr Bjarnason in Sturla Þórðarson's (d. 1284) *İslendinga saga*: 'Sa maðr var i Miðfirði, er Tannr het [...] hann var orð-illr, ok orti, ok niðskar; enngi var hann manna sætir.<sup>36</sup>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>31</sup> For examples of such kennings see Sveinbjörn Egilsson 1931, 558.

<sup>32</sup> Lorenz 1984, 343.

<sup>33</sup> Dumézil 1988, 143.

<sup>34</sup> Strutynski 1974, 36.

<sup>35</sup> von See 1988, 60-61.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>36</sup> Kålund 1906, 320. 'There lived in Miðfjorðr a man by the name of Tannr [...] he spoke evil of others, made verses, and was libellous; he was no reconciler of men.'

The final words are clearly litotic: Tannr is a troublemaker — an inciter of conflict.<sup>37</sup> Sturla uses a similar litotes in his Hákonar saga gamla Hákonarsonar when Kolbeinn Dufgusson arrives in Iceland and plots an attack on Gizur Porvaldsson: 'botti hann ecki mikill manna-fættir er hann kom vt.'38

The significance of Týr not being called a sættir manna seems therefore plain: the intrepid battle-god is no pacifist, of course, but on the contrary a promoter of hostility, and Týr thereby resembles Mars, who was considered a 'litigiorum et discordiae comissor'. 39 Snorri's litotic conclusion of Gylfaginning 25 appears therefore to support the usual interpretation of Lokasenna 38.2-3, that is: 'you could never / bring peace (or goodwill) between two men (or foes)'.

VI. Týr is only said to have lost his right hand in Lokasenna 38 and Gylfaginning 34. Snorri may well have drawn on the poem in this particular, but it has at all events contributed to the impression that Týr lost his hand in an act of perjury. Dronke observes, for example: 'His deed demonstrates the cost of oath-breaking, and the need, sometimes, to incur that cost. The scanty evidence of Týr links him with covenants and law. He loses his right hand, the hand that pledges faith.'40 Speaking of the loss of a 'Schwurhand', de Vries remarks: 'Die Bedeutung des Mythus von Týr ist also diese, daß er zeigt, wie ein Gott zur Sicherung der kosmischen Ordnung eine notwendige Lüge mit dem Verlust seiner

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>37</sup> In his study of litotes in ON, Hollander classifies this statement about Tannr as a litotes of the type 'denied compound noun' and he glosses the words simply 'a troublemaker', See Hollander 1938, 12

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>38</sup> Mundt, 1977, 156. 'he was not considered a great reconciler of men when he came to Iceland.' One might also consider the description of Pórarinn svarti Pórólfsson in Eyrbyggja saga (ch. 15): 'Hann var mikill maðr ok sterkr, ljótr ok hljóðlyndr, vel stilltr hversdagliga; hann var kallaðr mannasættir [...] Svá var hann maðr óhlutdeilinn, at óvinir hans mæltu, at hann hefði eigi síðr kvenna skap en karla.' Einar Ól. Sveinsson and Matthías Pórðarson 1935, 27. 'He was a big and strong man, ugly and taciturn, and usually well tempered; he was called a reconciler of men [...] He was so unmeddlesome that his enemies said his disposition was no less like that of a woman than a man.' This may be contrasted to the description of the neighbouring troublemaker Oddr (also in ch. 15): '[Oddr] var mikill maðr ok knár, hávaðamaðr mikill ok málugr, slysinn ok rógsamr.' '[Oddr] was a big and hardy man, boisterous and talkative, mischievous and slanderous [?or contentious].' Pórarinn proves that he has the heart of a man by slaying Oddr, and it seems doubtful that the author is thinking in particular of the legal arbitration of freeholders when he says that Pórarinn was called a mannasættir — Pórarinn's pacifism must surely be associated instead with the implication that he is a coward.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>39</sup> Caspari 1886, 8. 'perpetrator of disputes and discord'.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>40</sup> Dronke 1997, 341 translates lines 2-3 of Lokasenna 38: 'you never had the talent / for settling two factions fairly.'

Hand bezahlen will.<sup>41</sup> No evidence has apparently been adduced for such a perjury-talion among the pagan Germani or Norsemen, and though such a talion was known among some Germanic Christians on the Continent (a Christian rationale may be found in Matthew v, 30),<sup>42</sup> there is no apparent reason to interpret the *veð* of Týr's right hand along such lines and much less assume that he was a god of law, oaths or legal contract on account of his maiming.

It should be noted in this connection that Lokasenna's metre (ljóða-háttr) requires no alliteration (on two words) in the fourth line of its stanzas, and it so happens that there is also inessential alliteration on hægri and hond in line four of Lokasenna 61, where Þórr threatens Loki with his hammer:

Þórr qvað:

'Þegi þú, rog vættr! hendi inni hægri

þér scal minn þrúðhamarr, drep ek þic Hrungnis bana, Miǫllnir, mál fyrnema; svá at þér brotnar beina hvat.'<sup>43</sup>

One might compare this to *Ragnarsdrápa* 15, where Þórr wields his hammer over Jormungandr with his *hægri hond*, but in this case the alliteration is essential:

Hamri fórsk í hægri ægir Qflugbarða họnd þar er allra landa \*endiseiðs \*of kendi.<sup>44</sup>

It seems questionable to attach any symbolism to Pórr's threat of battering Loki with his right hand rather than the left. This specific seems more likely to exemplify the poet's taste for inessential (that is, purely artful or ornamental) alliteration, <sup>45</sup> and the same caveat must surely apply to the loss of Týr's *hægri hond* in stanza 38.

There happen to be many places in ON verse and prose where hand

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>41</sup> de Vries 1970 II, 24.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>42</sup> Such a talion in early medieval and Germanic law-codes like *Lex Chamavorum* and *Lex Saxonum* is noted in Páll Sigurðsson 1992, 100.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>43</sup> Neckel and Kuhn 1983, 108. 'Be silent, you unmanly creature! / My mighty hammer / Mjollnir shall deprive you of speech. / With my right hand / I'll strike you with the bane of Hrungnir [= Mjollnir], / so that your every bone is broken.'

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>44</sup> Faulkes 1998, 15–16. 'The terrifier of Qflugbarði [= Þórr] wielded the hammer in his right hand when he felt the coalfish that encircles all lands [= Jormungandr (the Midgard-serpent)].' This stanza is only preserved in Snorri's *Edda* and the poem is attributed to the 9th-century Norwegian Bragi Boddason.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>45</sup> See, for example, sts. 2, 3, 11, 12, 13, 16, 25, 26, 30, 33, 43, 48, 49, 50, 55 and 65. The inessential alliteration may sometimes be accidental, but the tendency to artful alliteration is clear.

is evidently qualified with  $h \alpha g r i$  simply for alliterative effect. Another eddic example is perhaps found in Voluspá 5, where hond seems to be used metaphorically of the sun's rays:

Sól varp sunnan. hendi inni hœgri sinni mána, um himinioður<sup>46</sup>

The following stanza from Landnámabók is attributed to the 10th-century Icelander Helgi dýr Skefilsson, but whether or not Helgi was actually wounded in his right hand, the poet does obviously take advantage of the fact that hægri alliterates with hond:

Band's á hægri hendi. lýgk eigi þat – leygjar, linnvengis Bil. minni. 47 hlautk sór af Tý bóru

Whether or not Snorri drew on Lokasenna 38 when he specifies that Týr lost his right hand, it must be borne in mind that ON prose also contains many instances where hægri qualifies hond simply for artful alliteration. For example, in Bjarnar saga Hitdælakappa (ch. 12), when Oddný incites Þórðr by ordering him to clean out the stables, we learn that he 'drap hendi sinni hœgri á kinn henni.'48 In Fjótsdæla saga (ch.

<sup>46</sup> Neckel and Kuhn 1983, 2. 'From the south the sun, / partner of the moon, / threw her right hand / round the rim of heaven.' It is possible, however, that the right hand is specified because the sun is imagined to turn her right side towards earth (as has sometimes been suggested).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>47</sup> Jakob Benediktsson 1968, 379. A translation might run as follows: 'A band is on my right hand [or arm], woman; I received a wound from the man — I do not lie.' The Týr or appellatival týr 'god' of býru leygr, where the last two words form a kenning for gold ('wave of the fire'), is a typical man-kenning, that is 'god of the gold'. Also conventional is the woman-kenning linnvengis Bil, where Bil (the name of a goddess) is qualified by 'land of the serpent (= gold)', which yields 'goddess of the gold'. Since the mention of Týr/týr coincides here with the poet's claim of being wounded on the hægri hond, some readers might at first want to detect some allusion to Týr's maiming, but three things should be noted in this connection: 1) hond may here be qualified by hægri for purely alliterative reasons — the alliteration is essential and there are (as we have noted) many examples of  $h \alpha g r i$  qualifying h o n d for a purely euphonic effect; 2)  $T \dot{y} r / t \dot{y} r$ is one of the most common elements in man- or warrior-kennings where a common noun meaning 'god' (like  $t\acute{y}r$ ), or else the name of a particular god, is used as a baseword; and 3) the referent of the kenning based on Týr/týr is not the one who sustains the injury to his hand (or arm) but the opponent who is responsible for the injury (who is Sigurðr Ljótsson according to Landnáma). This last point makes any allusion to the one-handed Týr seem exceedingly suspect, and yet it is not easy to imagine that the poet could have avoided thinking of the one-handed Týr if he was aware of the idea that Týr was one-handed.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>48</sup> Sigurður Nordal and Guðni Jónsson 1938, 140. 'struck her on the cheek with his right hand.'

19), we are told that Gunnsteinn wrested a knife from Helgi's right hand: 'Hann seilist upp yfir borðit ok þrífr hönd hina hægri fyrir ofan úlfliðinn ok kreistir höndina svó fast, at hann lýr alla hana ok ór hrýtr knífrinn ok niðr á borðit.'49 One need hardly search for some symbolism in these specifics, and the same may be said, for example, of King Haki losing his hægri hond in battle in Páttr af Ragnars sonum (ch. 5); King Heiðrekr wielding a sword with his hægri hond in Heiðreks saga (ch. 6); Þórir hundr wearing a gold ring on his hægri hond in Ólafs saga helga (ch. 165 (Heimskringla)); King Ólafr's bleeding from his hægri hond in Óláfs saga Tryggvasonar (ch. 108 (Heimskringla)); or Egill chopping off a giant's hægri hond in Egils saga einhenda (ch. 10). 50 Two examples from Snorri Sturluson's Heimskringla have just been cited, and two might be added from his Edda. In Gylfaginning 45, we are told how Þórr and his companions dwelt for the night in a hall that turned out to be the glove of a giant. When they suddenly felt the earth quake: 'Þá stóð Þórr upp ok hét á lagsmenn sína ok leituðusk fyrir ok fundu afhús til hægri handar í miðjum skálanum ok gengu þannig.'51 In Skáldskaparmál 44, Snorri relates how Hrólfr kraki delayed the Swedish host that pursued him: 'Tók Hrólfr kraki hægri hendi gullit ofan í hornit ok søri alt um gotuna. En er Svíar sjá þat, hlaupa þeir ór soðlunum ok tók hverr slíkt er fekk [...]'.<sup>52</sup>

Hence the question arises as to whether Týr's hægri hǫnd in Gylfaginning 34 does not merely manifest the same alliterative or euphonic habit irrespective of whether Snorri relied here on Lokasenna 38, where hægri họnd is suspect for the same reason. The case of Týr might well be exceptional, but is it sensible to work in a circle and presume that Týr is exceptional because he is said to lose his hægri hand — that is, because of the talion-hypothesis which is underpinned by the qualifying hægri? Of course not. Snorri's wording gives moreover no reason

 $<sup>^{49}</sup>$  Jón Jóhannesson 1950, 280. 'He reaches up over the table and grasps the right hand above the wrist and squeezes the hand so firmly that he bruises it all and the knife falls down on the table.'

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>50</sup> The *vinstri hond* is by contrast rarely specified. *Gylfaginning* 5 contains a notable instance where the primordial pair of *hrimpursar* or 'frost-giants' is born under the left *hond* of Ymir and this specific might well reflect the 'sinister' nature of the race. ON *vinstri* 'left' could sometimes harbour a sense like 'worse' or 'evil' (see below).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>51</sup> Faulkes 1988, 37. 'Then Þórr stood up and summoned his companions and they searched about and found a side-room on the right hand side half-way down the hall and entered.'

 $<sup>^{52}</sup>$  Faulkes 1998, 59. 'Hrólfr took the gold out of the horn with his right hand and strewed it all over the road. And when the Swedes saw this, they leapt from their saddles and each took what he could get [...]'

to suppose that he was thinking in terms of perjury, and his use of the phrase leggia e-t at vedi strongly suggests that he was not. In fact, it seems entirely fitting to view Týr's veð as a stake or gage in a simple wager or bargain with the bound wolf, and it appears even more difficult to extract any allusion to a perjury-talion from Lokasenna 38.

VII. McKinnell imagines that Týr is seeking reconciliation in Lokasenna 37: 'Whether or not Týr is a competent arbitrator, that appears to be the role he is trying to play here; and as there is no other clear motive for him to intervene, I would suggest the possibility that he has an official function as arbitrator, just as Bragi is the official orator and Sif may be the official hostess'. 53 And McKinnell suggests that Loki is accusing Týr of being an unworthy arbitrator in stanza 38: 'To Loki, Týr's lost hand is the sign of a broken oath, and how can one trust an arbitrator who is himself an oath-breaker? No wonder Loki accuses him of not being 'even handed'. Seen in this light, Týr's reply is pure cynicism (st. 39); it amounts to saying: "Well, we may have tricked the Wolf and I lost my hand as you say, but it worked."54

As to Loki's claim to have sired a son on Týr's wife, McKinnell observes that 'the only sort of arbitration that is appropriate for a warlike god like Týr is the hólmganga ['duel'], the arbitration of force'. 55 So since the purported cuckold is one-handed and therefore (supposedly) unable to seek redress by challenging Loki to a duel, Loki has (supposedly) turned Týr's (supposed) argument that might is right against Týr himself: 'The allegation about Týr's wife functions as a moral supposition, to show the inherent injustice of the hólmganga, in this casual assumption that whoever succeeds must be justified', and Týr's (supposed) argument has thus been shown up 'as morally bankrupt in a way that makes him appear disgraced and ridiculous himself. 56

McKinnell's interpretation relies on some disputable assumptions. To begin with, it might be questioned whether we must think of a

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>53</sup> McKinnell 1987–88, 247.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>54</sup> McKinnell 1987-88, 248.

<sup>55</sup> McKinnell 1987-88, 248.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>56</sup> McKinnell 1987–88, 248. McKinnell (1994, 50) has summed up his interpretation of the exchange between Loki and Týr: 'Týr is reminded why he lost his right hand: Fenrir bit it off after the gods broke their oath to release him if their rope succeeded in binding him (st. 38) [...] Týr is accused by implication of injustice in arbitration: first because he should be disqualified as an oathbreaker himself (st. 38, see above); second because, as patron of duels, he stands for a code which equates might with right. This is ludicrously illustrated by his own case: Týr's wife has had a child by Loki, but Týr can get no compensation, because he cannot fight for it, having only one hand (st. 40).

hólmganga when Loki compounds the cuckold's disgrace by claiming that he received no compensation. This may imply unmanliness on Týr's part, but Týr is nowhere, as far as we know, associated with the practice of hólmganga. It may be objected that this is a pedantic objection; Týr is a warlike god, but to surmise that Loki never paid a fine for the alleged adultery and bastard offspring since the alleged cuckold and patron of arbitration and duels was deemed unfit to engage himself in the 'arbitration of force' on account of his loss of one hand in a broken oath does seem a rather far-fetched train of thought. More importantly, it remains very doubtful whether Týr was imagined to have lost his hand in an act of perjury — and why indeed should a one-handed oath-breaker be the official patron of oaths or arbitration or judicial duels? Furthermore: it is not at all clear that Týr has any conciliatory pretensions when he remonstrates in favour of Freyr, and Loki's riposte hardly requires us to think that arbitration is Týr's particular field of patronage. On the contrary, Loki seems to be saying that Týr could never bring about goodwill or peace, and such a charge is clearly consistent with Týr's militant nature, which is evidently the aspect of Týr that Loki is criticizing. And while Týr may have no special motive to intervene at this juncture on Freyr's behalf, the satirizing poet has good reason to let him do so as it is of course ironic to see the patron of battle and strife intervene to applaud the kindnesses of the patron of peace, 57 and the alliterative emphasis on bú ('Pegi bú Týr!, / bú kunnir aldregi [...]') underscores this irony if bera tilt means in fact the furtherance of goodwill or peace.

VIII. Many other stanzas of *Lokasenna* begin with a statement that is somehow explained, illustrated or extended in the stanza's latter half (cf. sts. 13, 17, 20, 22, 26, 30, 34, 37, 39, 54 and 60). For comparison, one might consider stanza 22, where Loki alludes to a notorious characteristic of Odinn and begins his invective with the phrase *bú kunnir aldregi* 'you could never' (as in stanza 38):

Loki qvað:

'Þegi þú, Óðinn! opt þú gaft,

þú kunnir aldregi þeim er þú gefa scyldira, deila víg með verom; inom slævorom, sigr. <sup>59</sup>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>57</sup> See note 65 on Freyr's image as the patron of peace.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>58</sup> This characteristic has been noted in von See et al. 1997, 375 and 461, as well as Jakobsen 1979, 36–7.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>59</sup> Neckel and Kuhn 1983, 101. 'Loki said: "Be silent, Öðinn! / You could never /

In their search for a similar coherence in stanza 38, critics have sought to explain how Týr's alleged inability to bera tilt með tveim might relate to the loss of his right hand. To this end, Ruggerini has suggested that Loki is taunting Týr for being unable to keep two fighting men apart as he has only one hand to do so. 60 Such an irony is possible, but Jakobsen appears to have found a more likely solution.<sup>61</sup> He takes the preposition med to mean in this case 'with' (instead of 'between' or 'among'), and he furthermore takes tilt to be adverbial and bera in its literal sense 'carry, bear'. 62 Jakobsen thus gets the reading:

Ti du, Tyr! den høyre hånden, Du kunne aldri den vil jeg omtale, som Fenrir sleit ifra deg. 63 bære godt med (eventuelt: mellom) to (hender);

Plainly, the literal sense of bera cannot be ignored in conjunction with med tveim and the mention of Týr's loss of a hand, and the statement 'you could never carry well with two (hands)' would naturally call for the reason why Týr's powers of handling are impaired. Jakobsen's reading offers thus an attractive link between the stanza's halves, and yet he may be wrong in thinking that Snorri misunderstood Loki's words to mean that Týr is no reconciler of men. 64 It seems more likely that Jakobsen has exposed an intended lexical ambiguity; for Snorri's supposed understanding of the stanza gains considerable support from Týr's martial image and the aforementioned uses of bera e-t með e-m and bera e-t milli/millum e-ra/e-rra, where bera is used figuratively. Furthermore: Jakobsen's reading does by no means elucidate why Týr (of all gods) should intervene in defense of Freyr, whereas Snorri's supposed understanding has the additional merit of providing an ironic contrast between the god of peace (Freyr) and the god of battle (Týr).65

divide the slaying among men; / often you gave / whom you should not have given / - the faint-hearted - the victory."

<sup>60</sup> Ruggerini 1979, 64. 61 Jakobsen 1979, 36-9.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>62</sup> A literal sense of bera in Lokasenna 38 was in fact supposed by some scholars in the 19th century. See von See et al. 1997, 461 and Jakobsen 1979, 39.

<sup>63</sup> Jakobsen 1979, 36.

<sup>64</sup> Jakobsen 1979, 36 takes the view that Snorri misconstrued the stanza's first half (with most modern critics) as referring to the battle-god's inability to reconcile men and added therefore 'ok er hann ekki kallaðr sættir manna' as an afterthought once he had finished his description of the maiming and turned his thoughts from the stanza's latter half to the first.

<sup>65</sup> Freyr is defined as a god of peace and plenty in Gylfaginning 24 and elsewhere.

IX. The result of the discussion so far has been to cast doubt on the prevalent theory that Týr lost his Schwurhand or 'the hand that pledges faith' in some kind of oath-taking or judicial ordeal or 'legal' contract with the wolf Fenrir. It should be plain that the accounts of Týr's maiming in Gylfaginning 25 and 34 do neither need nor even lend themselves kindly to such an interpretation, and one must for obvious reasons be wary of building overmuch in this respect on the specific detail that Týr lost his hægri hand. The alleged legal symbolism is, of course, even more elusive in Lokasenna 38, and Jakobsen has provided a more prosaic and plausible reading of the stanza. For reasons previously noted it seems likelier, however, that Loki is criticizing Týr's bellicosity and that Lokasenna 38.2-3 means in the first instance essentially: 'You could never bring goodwill (or peace) between two men (or foes)'. Loki's subsequent comment on Týr's maiming has struck some readers as an oddly disjointed sequel to such a charge, and yet the loss of Týr's hægri hond may in fact offer an explanation (of sorts) for why Týr should himself be incompetent in the department of goodwill or peace, as I shall presently seek to explain.

X. The ON adjective  $h \omega gr$  can mean 'apt, competent, expedient, skilful, agile, easy' (much like OE til), and  $h \omega gri \ hond$  does accordingly denote 'right hand' or literally the 'more expedient (or 'dextrous' or 'adroit') hand'. Týr's loss of his 'handier' hand might thus support Jakobsen's reading, that is help explain how Týr could never 'bære godt med (eventuelt: mellom) to (hender)', and yet it might be recalled here that  $h \omega gr$  also possessed a sense in the region of 'kindly, docile, affable, peaceable, mild' (again rather much like OE til: 'good, kind, gentle'). <sup>66</sup> In point of fact, the comparative form  $h \omega gri$  does sometimes possess a sense like 'better, more beneficial, kindlier, benevolent' or even 'blessed' in conjunction with hond. One example is a rendering of Rom.viii, 28 in  $J \delta ns \delta \Delta ttr Hall d \delta rssonar byskups$ , where it

This image is presumably also alluded to in *Lokasenna* 35, where his father Njorðr says: 'þá ec mog gat, / þann er mangi fiár' (Neckel and Kuhn 1983, 103) 'when I begot the son / whom no one hates'. Dronke is no doubt correct when she comments on *Lokasenna* 37 (1997, 364): 'Freyr is now praised as a chivalrous knight in almost Arthurian terms, bringing comfort to ladies and magnanimously freeing prisoners.' Dronke adduces here a verse from *Hálfs saga ok Hálfsrekka* in which these same knightly virtues are extolled, as do von See et al. 1997, 458–9.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>66</sup> See La Farge and Tucker 1992, 131; Sveinbjörn Egilsson 1931, 307; Cleasby and Guðbrandur Vigfússon 1957, 305; Fritzner 1886–96, 159; Sigfús Blöndal 1920–4, 379; and Ásgeir Blöndal Magnússon 1989, 406.

is said of Bishop Jón: 'vel fylltiz með honum þat sem postulinn segir, at beim er Guð elskar snýz allt til hægri handar [= 'omnia cooperantur in bonum']'.67 Similarly, it is said of St. John Damascene in Jóns saga postula IV that 'ollom giofum ser logðum af guði víkr hann til hægri handar', 68 and in Arngrimr Brandsson's saga of St. Guðmundr (Guðmundar saga D), we are told how a starving woman cooked moss in water drawn from the saint's well and this was transformed into food 'fyrir hægri handar skipti græðara várs Jesu Christi.'69 This traditional and figurative significance of hægri hond squares rather well with the aforementioned senses of hægr, but it has no doubt gained currency mainly on account of the pervasive Christian symbolism of dexter and sinister whereby ON vinstri 'left' can by contrast mean 'worse' or 'adverse' and even 'sinful' or 'evil' when it qualifies hond. 70

This figurative use of hægri hond appears in various contexts in poetry and prose where the ordinary understanding is obviously inadequate so that the reader must reconsider the sense of the adjective. But then it has indeed troubled readers how the loss of Týr's hægri hand relates to his inability to bera tilt med tveim, and hence arises the question of whether hægri is in the ordinary sense suitable to the con-

Now OE til suggests that ON \*tilr possessed a similar range of meaning as hægr, and \*tilr and hægr define two shortcomings in Lokasenna 38, one in each half of the stanza: Týr cannot 'bear' tilt, and he has lost his hægri hand. The latter fault (in the latter half) is evidently meant to explain the former fault (in the first half), but precisely how is a problem. We cannot, of course, ignore the coincidence of bera 'carry,

<sup>67</sup> Guðrún Ása Grímsdóttir 1998, 448. 'the words of the apostle were truly fulfilled in him, that all things turn til hægri handar ['to the right hand', i.e. 'turn out well'] for those who love God'. Jóns þáttr dates from around 1350.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>68</sup> Unger 1874, 497. 'he turns all the gifts he received from God til hægri handar ['to the right hand', i.e. towards good (?deeds)]'. The saga is usually dated to c. 1300. Variations of this type of phrase are discussed in Jón G. Friðjónsson 1997(a), 91-100, at 96-7, as well as in the same author's Rætur málsins 1997, 303-4.

<sup>69</sup> Guðbrandur Vigfússon 1878 11, 135 'through the hægri handar exchange of our healer Jesus Christ.' The saga dates from around the mid-fourteenth century.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>70</sup> See examples listed and discussed in Jón G. Friðjónsson 1997(b), 303-5. One example of vinstri (hond) with a sense in the region of 'sinful' or 'evil' may be found in Páls saga postula II (Unger 1874, 237), where it is explained why St. Paul sits 'til hoegri handar a innsigli pafvans' ('on the right hand side of the Pope's seal'): 'siðan er hann truði aa Jesum Kristum, giorði hann alldri hofuðsynd, at því er lesit er. En þær giora manninn vinstri handar mann.' ('it is read that he never committed a cardinal sin once he believed in Jesus Christ; for they [i.e. cardinal sins] make the man a left-handed man [or 'a man of the left hand'].')

bear' med tveim and the mention of Týr's loss of a hond (as Jakobsen has urged), but can we ignore the apparent synonymy of \*tilr and hond the words that define bera and hond respectively?

Hardly. The idea that Týr lost his right hand has no clear bearing on his alleged inability to bera tilt með tveim in the sense 'bring goodwill (or peace) between two men (or foes)', and this perplexity is all the more notable given Loki's emphasis on which hand it was that Týr lost ('handar innar hægri, / mun ec hinnar geta [...]' ('the hægri hand, / that one I shall mention [...]')), "I where the alliteration on hægri and hond is moreover at variance with the alliteration required by Lokasenna's metre. The inessential alliteration on hægri and hond is (or so it seems) merely an euphonic effect in Lokasenna 61, but stanza 38 would seem to differ in that its very coherence appears to depend on how we interpret hægri hond.

The ordinary sense of  $h \alpha pri$  hond appears inadequate in Lokasenna 38. It was, however, customary in certain contexts (as we have seen) to attach to these words a figurative sense whereby  $h \alpha pri$  in the region of 'more beneficial, benign, kindlier' replaces the ordinary sense 'right', and this may be a case of it. If tilt meant in fact something like 'kindness' or 'goodness' (like the OE neuter of til) or more specifically 'goodwill' or 'peace', as the context suggests, then  $h \alpha pri$  with a sense close to 'more beneficial, benign or kindlier' can clarify in what way Freyr's advocate is perceived as being himself unable to bera tilt because of his lack of the  $b \alpha pri$  hand:

Pegi þú, Týr! – and for this reason: handar innar hægri þú kunnir aldregi mun ec hinnar geta, bera tilt með tveim;<sup>72</sup> er þér sleit Fenrir frá.<sup>73</sup>

Týr (of all gods) has just extolled the goodwill of Freyr, the benign patron of peace, but the left-handed god of battle and strife is in turn ironically reminded that he himself (note the alliterative emphasis on  $b\dot{u}$ ) could never extend kindness (or goodwill or peace), and here one should appreciate both the literal and figurative senses of *bera* underscored by the ambiguity of  $me\dot{d}$  tveim ('between two men (or foes)' or 'with two hands'), which prepares us for further wordplay in hagri

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>71</sup> It may be noted that the demonstrative pronoun *hinn* (gen. fem. sing. *hinnar*) is here obviously used in the sense 'that (one)' as opposed to 'the other' since the latter sense has apparently confused some modern Icelandic editors.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>72</sup> Neckel and Kuhn 1983, 104.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>73</sup> Neckel and Kuhn 1983, 104.

hond. In short, Lokasenna 38 appears to involve a play on words linking Týr's martial nature to his distinctive injury and whereby he is essentially told that he (himself) could never bera kindness (or goodwill or peace) between men because his hægri hond — in the sense 'kindlier' or 'more benign' or 'more peaceable' hand — is wanting.

## Bibliography

Ásgeir Blöndal Magnússon, Íslensk orðsifjabók (Reykjavík, 1989)

Bosworth, J., and T. N. Toller, An Anglo-Saxon Dictionary (Oxford, 1898)

Bugge, S., ed., Norræn Fornkvæði (Christiania, 1881–1896)

Byock, J., Feud in the Icelandic Saga (London, 1982)

Caspari, C. P., ed., Martins von Bracara Schrift De correctione rusticorum (Christiania, 1886)

Cleasby, R., and Guðbrandur Vigfússon, An Icelandic-English Dictionary, 2nd ed. by W. A. Craigie (Oxford, 1957)

Dronke, U., ed., The Poetic Edda, vol. 2, Mythological Poems: Edited with Translation, Introduction, and Commentary (Oxford, 1997)

Dumézil, G., Gods of the Ancient Northmen, ed. E. Haugen (Berkeley, 1973)

Dumézil, G., Mitra-Varuna. An Essay on Two Indo-European Representations of Sovereignty, trans. D. Coltman (New York, 1988)

Einar Ól. Sveinsson and Matthías Þórðarson, ed., Eyrbyggja saga, Íslenzk fornrit 4 (Reykjavík, 1935)

Einar Ól. Sveinsson, ed., Vatnsdæla saga, Íslenzk fornrit 8 (Reykjavík, 1939)

Einar G. Pétursson, 'Codex Regius', in Medieval Scandinavia: An Encyclopedia, ed. P. Pulsiano et al., Garland Encyclopedia of the Middle Ages 1 (New York, 1993), 100-1

Ettmüller, L., 'Beiträge zur Kritik der Eddalieder', Germania 14 (1869), 305-

Faulkes, A., ed., Snorri Sturluson, Edda. Prologue and Gylfaginning (London, 1988)

Faulkes, A., ed., Snorri Sturluson, Edda. Skáldskaparmál, 2 vols. (London, 1998)

Finnur Jónsson, ed., Den norsk-islandske skjaldedigtning A 1–11, B 1–11 (Copenhagen, 1912-15)

Finnur Jónsson, ed., *Eddukvæði*, 2nd edn. (Reykjavík, 1926)

Fritzner, J., Ordbog over det gamle norske Sprog, 3 vols. (Christiania, 1886–96)

Gering, H. Glossar zu den Liedern der Edda (Sæmundar Edda), 5th edn. (Paderborn, 1923)

Gisli Sigurðsson, ed., Eddukvæði (Reykjavík, 1998)

- Green, D. H., Language and History in the Early Germanic World (Cambridge, 1998)
- Guðbrandur Vigfússon, ed., Biskupa sögur 11 (Copenhagen, 1878)
- Guðni Jónsson, Eddulyklar (Reykjavík, 1954)
- Guðrún Ása Grímsdóttir, ed., *Biskupa sögur* III, Íslenzk fornrit 17 (Reykjavík, 1998)
- Hamre, L., 'Veddemål', in Kulturhistorisk leksikon for nordisk middelalder fra vikingtid til reformationstid XIX (Copenhagen, 1975), 608–11
- Hollander, L. M., 'Litotes in Old Norse', Publications of the Modern Language Association of America 53 (1938), 1-33
- Jakobsen, A., 'Bera tilt með tveim: Til tolkningen av Lokasenna 38', *Maal og minne* (1979), 34–9
- Jakob Benediktsson, ed., *Íslendingabók*. *Landnámabók*, Íslenzk fornrit 1 (Reykjavík, 1968)
- Jón G. Friðjónsson (a), 'Biblían og málsagan', in *Íslensk málsaga og textafræði*, ed. Úlfar Bragason, Rit Stofnunar Sigurðar Nordals 3 (Reykjavík, 1997), 91–100
- Jón G. Friðjónsson (b), Rætur málsins (Reykjavík, 1997)
- Jón Jóhannesson, ed., Austfirðinga sogur, Íslenzk fornrit 11 (Reykjavík, 1950)
- Kålund, Kr., ed., Sturlunga saga efter membranen Króksfjarðarbók (Copenhagen, 1906)
- Kousgård Sørensen, J., *Danske bebyggelsesnavne på -sted*, Navnestudier 1 (Copenhagen, 1958)
- Köbler, G., Gotisches Wörterbuch (Leiden, 1989)
- La Farge, B., and J. Tucker, Glossary to the Poetic Edda. Based on Hans Kuhn's Kurzes Wörterbuch (Heidelberg, 1992)
- Lorenz, G., ed., Snorri Sturluson, Gylfaginning: Texte, Übersetzung, Kommentar, Texte zur Forschung 48 (Darmstadt, 1984)
- Marteinn H. Sigurðsson, 'Þórr's Travel Companion in *Hymiskviða*', *Gripla* 16 (2005), 197–208.
- McKinnell, J., 'Motivation in Lokasenna', Saga-Book of the Viking Society 22 (1987–88), 234–62
- McKinnell, J., Both One and Many: Essays on Change and Variety in Late Norse Heathenism (Rome, 1994)
- Mundt, M., ed., *Håkonar saga Håkonarsonar etter Sth. 8 fol., AM* 325 *VIII, 4*°, og *AM* 304, 4°, Norrøne skrifter 2 (Oslo, 1977)
- Neckel, G., ed., Edda. Die Lieder des Codex Regius nebst verwandten Denkmälern I: Text, rev. H. Kuhn, 5th ed. (Heidelberg, 1983)
- Ólafur Briem, ed., Eddukvæði I (Reykjavík, 1985)
- Olsen, M., Edda- og Skaldekvad 11 (Oslo, 1960)
- Ordbog over det norrøne prosasprog. A Dictionary of Old Norse Prose 2, ed. J. E. Knirk et al. (Copenhagen, 2000)

Page, R. I., Chronicles of the Vikings: Records, Memorials and Myths (London, 1995)

Páll Sigurðsson, Svipmyndir úr réttarsögu (Reykjavík, 1992)

Ruggerini, M. E., Le invetti di Loki (Rome, 1979)

von See, K., et al., Kommentar zu den Liedern der Edda II (Heidelberg, 1997)

von See, K., Mythos und Theologie im skandinavischen Hochmittelalter (Heidelberg, 1988)

Seip, D. A., 'Dagnavn', in Kulturhistorisk leksikon for nordisk middelalder fra vikingtid til reformationstid II (Copenhagen, 1957), 611-16

Sigfús Blöndal, Íslensk-dönsk orðabók (Reykjavík, 1920–4)

Sigurður Nordal and Guðni Jónsson, ed., Borgfirðinga sogur, Íslenzk fornrit 3 (Reykjavík, 1938)

Söderberg, B., 'Lokasenna — egenheter och ålder', Arkiv för nordisk filologi 101 (1987), 18-99

Strutynski, U., 'History and Structure in Germanic Mythology', in Myth in Indo-European Antiquity, ed. G. Larson (Berkeley, 1974), 29-45

Sveinbjörn Egilsson, Lexicon Poeticum Antiquae linguae Septentrionalis. Ordbog over det norsk-islandske skjaldesprog, 2nd edn., ed. and rev. Finnur Jónsson (Copenhagen, 1931)

Unger, R. C., ed., Postola sögur (Christiania, 1874)

de Vries, J., Altgermanische Religionsgeschichte, 2 vols, 3rd edn. (Berlin, 1970)