Svensk Teologisk Kvartalskrift 98 (2022), 275–296 p-ISSN 0039-6761 e-ISSN 2003-6248 DOI: https://doi.org/10.51619/stk.v98i4.24945

East and West, to the *Ratline*, and Beyond *On Memory and Identity*

PHILIPPE SANDS

Philippe Sands is Professor of Public Understanding of Law at University College London.

p.sands@ucl.ac.uk

Twelve years ago, I received an unexpected invitation, to deliver a lecture at the faculty of law in the city of Lemberg/Lviv, on my work on "crimes against humanity" and "genocide", two international crimes the terms for which were coined in the summer of 1945. I spent a part of that summer writing my lecture, in the course of which I accidentally discovered that the man who put "crimes against humanity" into international law, renowned Professor Hersch Lauterpacht (1897–1960),¹ happened to come from Lviv. Indeed, he had been a student at the university that invited me, although those who did invite me were blissfully unaware of the fact, and later at the University of Vienna, where he studied under Hans Kelsen (1881–1973). I also learned that the man who invented the word "genocide", Raphael Lemkin (1900–1959),² was also a student at the law faculty in Lemberg,

This essay is a revised version of the lecture given by the author on the occasion of him being awarded an honorary doctorate by the Faculty of Theology at Lund University in June 2022.

I. Reut Yael Paz, "Making it Whole: Hersch Lauterpacht's Rabbinical Approach to International Law", *Goettingen Journal of International Law* 4 (2012), 422: "It has been confirmed that the definitions that later came to be enshrined in Article 6 of the Nuremberg charter (crimes against peace, war crimes, and crimes against humanity) were in fact formulated by Lauterpacht."

^{2.} Raphaël Lemkin, *Axis Rule in Occupied Europe: Laws of Occupation, Analysis of Government, Proposals for Redress,* Washington, DC 1944, 79: "By 'genocide' we mean the destruction of a nation or of an ethnic group. This new word, coined by the author to denote

although not at the same time as Lauterpacht. Those who invited me did not know that either. And then I learned that at the Nuremberg trial, the famous trial, Lauterpacht and Lemkin actually prosecuted, on behalf of the British and the Americans, Hans Frank (1900–1946), for crimes against humanity and genocide.³ When the trial opened, on 20 November 1945, they did not know that the man they were prosecuting was also responsible for the deaths of their entire families. You really could not invent it.



Figure 1. Leon Buchholz.

Ostensibly, I originally travelled to Lemberg to give a lecture, but the true reason for the journey was a desire to find the house where my grand-father was born. In 1904, Leon Buchholz's (1904–1997) city was known as Lemberg, a regional capital of the Austro-Hungarian empire. I hoped to fill gaps in Leon's life story, to discover what happened to his family, about which he maintained a discreet silence. I wanted to learn about his identity, and mine. I found Leon's house, and discovered that the origins of "geno-cide" and "crimes against humanity", legal ideas invented in 1945, could be

an old practice in its modern development, is made from the ancient Greek word *genos* (race, tribe) and the Latin *cide* (killing)".

^{3.} See Philippe Sands, "East West Street: Personal Stories about Life and Law", *Washington University Global Studies Law Review* 16 (2017), 439–456. The lives of Lemkin and Lauterpacht are discussed in greater depth in Philippe Sands, "A Memory of Justice: The Unexpected Place of Lviv in International Law – A Personal History", *Case Western Reserve Journal of International Law* 43 (2011), 739–758.

traced to the city of his birth. The journey caused me to write a book, *East West Street* and later the sequel, *The Ratline*.⁴

The two books tell stories, and like the cases in which I am involved in international courts, they inevitably touch on personal stories. I suppose what I have come to be really interested in is that special connection between the minutiae of personal stories and the larger canvas of the big political legal public story.

Part I - The Project

East West Street and *The Ratline* are part of a broader project helping make international law reach a broader audience. That is incredibly important right now, as so many countries, not least the United Kingdom and the United States, have recently moved away from their commitment in 1945 to a rules-based global order. The current situation in Ukraine, with Russia's manifestly unlawful war, and the war crimes and crimes of humanity that have followed, and even allegations of genocide, underscores the enormity of the moment. Will such events destroy the 1945 moment, or cause us to take steps to safeguard it?

In reaching out, I have met some extraordinary people. Two people that I have met in the past decade are the sons of two leading Nazis who were directly involved in the extermination of my grandfather's family: Niklas Frank, the son of Hans Frank who was Adolf Hitler's (1889–1945) personal lawyer, and Horst Wächter, the son of Otto Wächter (1901–1949) who was Frank's deputy governor of Kraków and of Galicia. I met them unexpected-ly – I was not looking for their personal stories. The context was as follows.

In the 1960s, my brother and I would often visit our grandparents who lived in Paris, near the Gare du Nord. As children, we came to understand that, for our grandparents, the past was painful, and that we should not ask too many questions. Their apartment was a place of silences, a place haunted by secrets, from Lemberg and Vienna.

I only really began to understand what had happened about those silences ten years ago, as a consequence of that visit to Lviv.⁵ I learned about legal history, and of the terrible events that occurred there, unleashed by the words of Hans Frank, who was the governor general of Nazi-occupied Poland, spoken on a warm day in August 1942 to his deputy, Otto Wächter,

^{4.} Philippe Sands, *East West Street: On the Origins of Genocide and Crimes against Humanity*, London 2017; Philippe Sands, *The Ratline: Love, Lies and Justice on the Trail of a Nazi Fugitive*, London 2020.

^{5.} The Nazi occupiers renamed Lviv to Lemberg, a name used by the Austro-Hungarian rulers beginning in the late eighteenth century. See "Brief History of the City of Lviv", *UCSB Oral History Project*, http://holocaust.projects.history.ucsb.edu/Resources/history_of_lviv.htm, accessed 2022-12-15.

who had recently transferred to Lemberg to serve as Governor of Galicia.⁶ Hans Frank's words began the process that led to the extermination of my grandfather's entire family, and hundreds of thousands of other Jewish and Polish families. Frank was charged with crimes against humanity and genocide. He was hanged in the courtyard of Nuremberg's Palace of Justice on 16 October 1946 for crimes against humanity.⁷

East West Street is not about the life of one individual, but four. It seeks to understand how the particular circumstances each of the four – my grandfather, Lauterpacht, Lemkin, and Frank – contributed to the roads they took, and how the different roads they travelled changed the system of international law that is my daily work, and the daily work of so many others. The book also touches on a more personal theme: how these four, interweaving lives influenced the path that I have taken, directly and indirectly. Below my path, and your paths, lurk some bigger questions – questions that touch each of us. They address central questions of identity, which is very relevant right now in the United States and in Europe: Who am I? And how do I want to be defined in law, as an individual or as a member of one or more groups? How do I want the law to protect me, as an individual, or as a member of a group?

It may have been my work as a barrister, rather than my writings, that caused the invitation to be sent from Lviv. In the summer of 1998, I had been peripherally involved in the negotiations in Rome that led to the creation of the International Criminal Court (ICC), a body that would have jurisdiction over genocide and crimes against humanity, and two other crimes.⁸ The essential difference between the two concepts centres on who is protected, and why. If 10,000 people are killed, murdered, exterminated, their systematic killing will always be a crime against humanity, but will it be a genocide? That depends on the intention of the killers, and the ability of prosecutors to prove that intention. To establish the crime of genocide, you have to prove that the act of killing is motivated by a special intent – the intent to destroy a group in whole or in part.⁹ If a criminal prosecutor cannot prove that a large number of people have been killed with that intent, then the crime of genocide is not established under international law. Basically, you have got these two crimes operating side by side, and overlapping:

^{6.} Sands, The Ratline, 93, 111.

^{7.} Sands, East West Street, 358-360.

^{8.} Tuiloma Neroni Slade & Roger S. Clark, "Preamble and Final Clauses", in Roy S. Lee (ed.), *The International Criminal Court: The Making of the Rome Statute*, The Hague 1999, 422–423; "How the Court Works", *International Criminal Court*, https://www.icc-cpi.int/about/how-the-court-works, accessed 2022-12-15.

^{9.} Patricia M. Wald, "Genocide and Crimes Against Humanity", *Washington University Global Studies Law Review* 6 (2007), 623–624.

every genocide is also a crime against humanity, but not every crime against humanity is a genocide.

A few months after the two crimes were inscribed into the ICC Statute, Senator Augusto Pinochet (1915–2006) was arrested in London, on charges of genocide and crimes against humanity laid against him by a Spanish prosecutor.¹⁰ The House of Lords ruled that, even as a former president of Chile, he was not entitled to claim immunity from the English courts.¹¹ That was a revolutionary judgment.

In the years that followed after 1998, the gates of international justice slowly creaked open after five decades of quiet during the Cold War chill that descended after Nuremberg.

Cases from the former Yugoslavia and Rwanda soon landed on my desk in London. Others followed on allegations in the Congo, Libya, Chechnya, Iran, Syria, Lebanon, Sierra Leone, Guantánamo, Palestine, Israel, Iraq, and so the list goes on. They were always based on the rules that came into being after 1945 – an American invention, a revolutionary moment in the making of modern international law, a moment that began in Courtroom 600 of Nuremberg's Palace of Justice, when it was recognized for the first time that the rights of the sovereign over its people are not unlimited. The long and sad list of cases that reached me reflected the failure of good intentions aired by Robert H. Jackson (1892–1954) in Courtroom 600.¹²

I became involved in many cases of mass killings. I have seen many mass graves. Some of the cases were crimes against humanity, the killings of individuals on a large scale; others were about genocide, the destruction of groups.

These two distinct crimes, with their different emphases on the individual and the group, grew side by side. Although, over time, genocide seems to have emerged, in the eyes of many, as the "crime of crimes" – a hierarchy

^{10. &}quot;Pinochet Arrested in London", *BBC News*, 17 October 1998, http://news.bbc.co.uk/2/ hi/europe/195413.stm, accessed 2022-12-15. From 1974 to 1990, Pinochet was the head of the military government in Chile. During his reign, thousands of persons were tortured, and many were "disappeared" – kidnapped and presumably killed. See "Augusto Pinochet: President of Chile", *Britannica*, https://www.britannica.com/biography/Augusto-Pinochet, accessed 2022-12-15; Martin Bernetti & Paulina Abramovich, ""Where Are They?": Families Search for Chile's Disappeared Prisoners", *The Guardian*, 14 August 2019, https://www.theguardian.com/ world/2019/aug/14/where-are-they-families-search-for-chile-disappeared-prisoners, accessed 2022-12-15.

^{11.} Regina v. Bartle and the Commissioner of Police for the Metropolis and Others Ex Parte Pinochet, [1999] 1 AC (HL) 595 (appeal taken from QB).

^{12.} Robert H. Jackson, "Opening Statement Before the International Military Tribunal", *Robert H. Jackson Center*, https://www.roberthjackson.org/speech-and-writing/opening-statement-before-the-international-military-tribunal/, accessed 2022-12-15. Associate Supreme Court Justice Jackson served as chief prosecutor for the United States at the Nuremberg Trial.

that leaves a suggestion that the killing of large numbers of people as individuals, rather than as a group, is somehow less terrible.

One of the major characters in *East West Street* is Hans Frank's son, Niklas. He is a fine journalist and a writer, and he despises his father. The first time I met him, he said to me, "you know Phillippe, I am against the death penalty in all cases, except in the case of my father". He introduced me to Horst Arthur Wächter, the son of his father's deputy, Otto Wächter, an Austrian and also a cultured and highly educated lawyer, who would become Governor of Kraków and then of Galicia, based in Lviv.

Wächter, the father, was indicted for the mass murder of more than 100,000 Poles and Jews – but unlike Frank he was never caught. He died in Rome in 1949, on the run, in the arms of a Vatican bishop in mysterious and unexpected circumstances.¹³ Niklas said to me, "Phillippe, you will like Horst, although he is different from me: he loves his father".

In the spring of 2012, I make the first of many visits to Horst, to the dilapidated ancient twelfth-century castle in the tiny village of Haggenberg, an hour north of Vienna. Horst, who was in his early 70s, is genial and chatty; he wears a pink shirt and Birkenstocks. We talk, we eat, we drink. He speaks of his parents' Nazi beliefs, his love for his mother Charlotte Bleckmann (1908–1985) – "she was a Nazi until the day she died", Horst's wife, Jacqueline, will whisper into my ear – and his childhood of plenty. Horst says of himself, "I was a Nazi child. I was named in honour of the 'Horst Wessel Song'¹⁴ and Arthur Seyss-Inquart (1892–1946), who ran Austria briefly after the *Anschluss*, and then became governor of German-occupied Holland until 1945". He was Horst's godfather; Horst has a photograph of him hanged at Nuremberg, just after Frank, next to his bed. And Horst will say, "you know what, Phillippe, I hardly knew my father, but it's my duty as a son to find the good in him".

On that first visit, Horst shares with me family albums filled with black and white photos from the 1930s and 1940s: there are images of family holidays on lakes and mountains, interspersed with the occasional swastika, or a picture of Hitler, a haunting photograph of a child taken in the Warsaw ghetto. The albums make it clear that the Wächters sat at the top Nazi table. There is also an extensive collection of his parents' diaries and letters, and Charlotte's reminiscences, but I will only see these much later. I leave at the

^{13.} Sands, *The Ratline*, 3–6, 145.

^{14.} Horst Wessel (1907–1930) was a member of the Nazi party murdered in 1930. Nazi party propagandists claimed he was murdered by Communists and made him a martyr in the early Nazi party's struggle with their Communist opponents. The propagandists adapted a poem Wessel had written into a marching song which later became the unofficial national anthem of Germany during the Nazi era. "The Horst Wessel Song", *Jewish Virtual Library*, https://www.jewishvirtuallibrary.org/the-horst-wessel-song, accessed 2022-12-17.



Figure 2. The Wächters.

sтк · 4 · 2022 │ **281**

end of that first visit over a couple of days totally intrigued by Horst and his family papers. And the thing is, I like him, as Niklas said I would.

I write a profile of Horst for the *Financial Times*.¹⁵ He does not like the article, titled "My Father the Good Nazi", severs relations, then comes back. The article catalyses a commission for a BBC documentary, What Our *Fathers Did: A Nazi Legacy* (2015), which traces my relationship with Niklas and Horst, and takes us together to the city of Lemberg (Lviv). Horst does not like the film either, severs relations, again, and then returns, again. But one scene in the film really irritates him – in Lviv, in the archives, Niklas wonders aloud whether Horst might be one of those "new kinds of Nazis". He retracts that charge later on, but it sticks. Horst wants to counter the claim. "I don't think of you as a Nazi", I say to him, "you're not a Holocaust denier, you're not an anti-Semite." "How can I prove that I'm not a Nazi?" he asks. I take a bit of time to reflect on this interesting question. Many of you will know that proving a negative is never easy. "Why not give all the family material to a museum", I suggest, "so that scholars and others who are interested in your family can review it?" It does, after all, seem to be a unique collection, one that traces the life of a leading Nazi couple from the moment they met in 1929 to the moment Otto died, two decades later, in Rome. Horst agrees. He offers the material to the United States Holocaust Memorial Museum in Washington, DC, where it is digitized and made public.¹⁶ Last year it was made available on the museum's website, so anyone can dip in and take a look. It is a unique and astonishing collection.

Horst said to me back then, would I like a set? "Yes", I said, I would. A few days later, a single USB stick dropped through my letterbox in a tatty old envelope: 13 gigabytes of digital images, 8,677 pages of letters, post-cards, diaries, photographs, newspaper clippings, and official documents. The collection is indeed remarkable. It includes Charlotte's *Erinnerungen* (Memoirs), written for Horst and the couple's five other children after the war. Reminiscences are grouped by period: 1938–1942, 1942–1945, and so on. Unbelievably there are also old sound recordings, those old cassette tapes digitized, so I can actually listen to Charlotte in her German cadence, methodical and rhythmic, high-pitched, anxious; not a warm voice, I feel.

This amazing material allows me to see the private side of Governor Wächter's terrible work in occupied Poland, in Kraków and Lemberg, from 1939 to 1944. What did Wächter do? Why did he travel to Rome in the spring of 1949, and what caused his death there, at a relatively young age

^{15.} Phillipe Sands, "My Father, the Good Nazi", *Financial Times*, 3 May 2013, https://www.ft.com/content/7d6214f2-b2be-11e2-8540-00144feabdco, accessed 2022-12-17.

^{16.} Horst von Wächter Collection, *United States Holocaust Memorial Museum*, https://collections.ushmm.org/search/catalog/irn722775, accessed 2022-12-17.

of 48? And how much did Charlotte actually know about what he did, and how much did she, as a spouse, provide by the way of support? In fact, what was their relationship like?

The material is voluminous; much of it is handwritten and it is all in German. It lingers for many weeks, until one day my colleague, the wonderful late historian Lisa Jardine (1944–2015), intercedes. She had recently delivered an inaugural lecture at University College London, where I teach, with a wonderful title, "Temptation in the Archives".¹⁷ I love archival material, and so does she. How do you assess archival material of a personal nature? That is her question. What is the historical value of personal documents? Lisa had terminal cancer, but she summoned a few of us to her flat in the shadow of the British Museum in the heart of London. "Bring a few documents", she says. I do. She is interested in personal correspondence, the diaries. She is struck by the sheer number of letters written in the last months of Otto's life, while he was on the run, a hunted man. She asks a question, "Why would a husband and wife write to each other so often, at such length and detail?" "I don't know", I say, "because they loved each other?"

"No", Lisa replies. "There's more there. They're sharing things they don't want others to see." The letters from the last years, after the war, when Otto was on the run, are coded; there are no names. Focus on the last year of Otto's life, Lisa suggests, and the nature of Charlotte's role.

So begins another research project, one that lasts many years, an exploration of what lay between the lines and behind the words. I stumble into a world of escape and of espionage, of double dealing and duplicity, of exhumations and reburials; travelling from the Vatican to Syria and South America, even to Albuquerque, New Mexico, into monasteries, over lakes, across mountains, and, finally, I arrive at the world of the "ratline" – the "Reich migratory route", as it was called, the escape path used by Nazis to make their way from Italy to Argentina and other places in South America. What I will learn is barely imaginable.

This is a story of love and lies and justice and injustice, a couple fleeing from the prospect of discovery and arrest, of charge and of trial, of sentencing and the noose. At the heart of the story is a relationship, one that survived, the wife Charlotte believed, "because our love had no limits and went even beyond death". Those are her words.

Charlotte is fascinating and repugnant. She was born into a wealthy family of steelmakers, in a small Styrian town of Mürzzuschlag, and she was, on her own account, a very difficult and highly rebellious child; intelligent, but

^{17.} Lisa Jardine, "Temptation in the Archives", January 2013.



Figure 3. Charlotte Bleckmann.

not intellectual. She was an art student, and then designed fabrics, sold with great success in Germany and Britain.

She is also a fine sportswoman, and in the spring of 1929, she travels to the local Schneeberg ski resort, and shares a train compartment with a stranger, a strikingly handsome young lawyer. "My new 'Baron' was tall, slender, athletic, with delicate features, very beautiful hands. He wore a diamond ring on the little finger of his right hand and had a noble appearance, one that any girl would notice." On 6 April 1929, she writes: "I fell in love with good-looking, cheerful Otto."

They courted for three years and then they married because she became pregnant. He starts to practice as a lawyer, and he becomes increasingly active in the Austrian chapter of the Nazi party. She supports and encourages his politics. In the summer of 1934, Otto Wächter led the unsuccessful coup attempt on the government of Austrian Chancellor Engelbert Dollfuss (1892–1934).¹⁸ The coup attempt fails, rather like the insurrection on 6 January 2021.¹⁹ He flees to Berlin and joins the criminal division of the SD, the *Sicherheitsdienst*, the intelligence service of the *Schutzstaffel*, the SS. He works in the same building as Adolf Eichmann (1906–1962). He enters the orbit of Heinrich Himmler (1900–1945), who becomes his patron. Charlotte joins him in Berlin in 1936, with Horst's two oldest siblings.

In March 1938, Germany seizes Austria²⁰ and they are able to return home. "Every Nazi felt such joy about this miracle", Charlotte records. Four years after the failed coup, he is back, triumphant. She drives to Vienna to pave the way for her husband's return. "There he was, in the doorway of my parents' flat in Vienna, a Brigadeführer, in his black SS coat with white lapels and uniform", she recalled. "In spite of the strain and the fatigue, he looked absolutely splendid."

They made their way to the Hofburg palace, through huge crowds overcome with, as she puts it, "a spontaneous and heartfelt outburst of joy". "Seyss-Inquart and his wife and a number of others came with the Führer, who slowly climbed the stairs of the Hofburg, up to the balcony. And there he was – the Führer – standing a metre in front of me. I could see and hear him so well." Last month, after a long search, someone in New Zealand sent me a photograph of Wächter on the balcony, close to the Führer. At

^{18.} See Sands, The Ratline, 46.

^{19.} See Lauren Leatherby, Arielle Ray, Anjali Singhvi, Christiaan Triebert, Derek Watkins & Haley Willis, "How a Presidential Rally Turned Into a Capitol Rampage", *The New York Times*, 12 January 2021, https://www.nytimes.com/interactive/2021/01/12/us/capitol-mob-timeline. html, accessed 2022-12-17.

^{20.} Sands, *The Ratline*, 54–55. This event is also known as the *Anschluss*. See "Austria Declares Union with Germany", *The Guardian*, 14 March 1938, https://www.theguardian.com/news/1938/mar/14/leadersandreply.mainsection, accessed 2022-12-17.



Figure 4. Otto Wächter.

the bottom of those stairs, after the joyous event, she tells Otto he should accept Seyss-Inquart's offer of a job in the new Nazi government. "Don't go back to ordinary life as a lawyer." That moment, that decision will have huge consequences – it changes their lives, as well as the lives of their children and grandchildren.

Charlotte's diaries pass in silence on the substance of Otto's new position. As a state secretary, his function is to remove Jews and other undesirables from public office, from the federal chancellery at the top, to the postal service at the bottom. He axed thousands and thousands of individuals, including, unbelievably, two of his own university teachers, Professor Josef Hupka (1875–1944) and Professor Stephan Brassloff (1875–1943).²¹ Removed from their university positions in the summer of 1938, both are stripped of their pension rights. Both will then be deported, and both will die.

As Otto crosses lines, Charlotte offered unstinting support. She loves the perks, the Mercedes, cocktail parties, the concerts at the Salzburg festival and Bayreuth, in the presence of the Führer and Himmler. And she loves the new homes, freshly emptied and stolen, a villa in Vienna, a "summer house" in Zell am See. The war in September 1939 propels Otto's career to even greater heights and horrors. Seyss-Inquart procures a new position for Otto. He becomes governor of Kraków in western Poland, newly occupied by Germany, working under Hans Frank.²²

Charlotte was fully aware of what he was up to, as he wrote about it in letters sent home. Otto wrote on 17 December 1939:

Dear *Hümmchen*, Many thanks for your lovely letter. There's a lot going on here. On the one hand, we've had some lovely things in the last few days: Schirach, Generalarbitsführer Polenz, R.M. Funk, and the philharmonic was a great success – and so also a great success for me. Frank was very impressed. On the other hand, not such nice things: sabotage, a nasty business, car accidents, ultimately an attempt on the life of the Governor General. Tomorrow I have to have another 50 Poles shot.²³

This act of killing was notorious; it was the first act of reprisal personally ordered by Hitler in occupied Poland. Otto signed off on it and supervised it. He also signed off on acts against the city's Jews and Polish intellectuals, and

^{21.} Sands, The Ratline, 75.

^{22.} Sands, The Ratline, 80, 82.

^{23.} This excerpt and others like it are read aloud on *The Ratline*, a podcast produced by the BBC. Actors Stephen Fry and Laura Linney read the letters of Hans and Charlotte, respectively. "Intrigue: The Ratline (Omnibus 1)", *BBC Sounds*, 27 December 2019, https://www.bbc.co.uk/programmes/m000cn22, accessed 2022-12-17.

it was he who ordered and oversaw the construction of the Kraków ghetto.²⁴ For these and other acts he would be indicted by the Americans for mass murder, crimes against humanity, and genocide. I looked for a hint of regret in Charlotte's papers. 8,677 pages. Not a single sign.

Three years later, the Kraków job completed, Charlotte celebrates when Hitler appoints Otto to Lemberg, to clean up Distrikt Galizien (Galacia District), recently occupied by Germany.²⁵ Otto keeps her abreast of developments. "There was so much to do in Lemberg after you left", he writes. "The harvest was gathered. We sent Polish workers to the labour camps, more than 250,000 already in the last few weeks, and the current large Jewish operations [the *Judenaktionen*] have been implemented. Lots of love, forever", he signs off. Himmler visits, offering him a position in Vienna if he does not want to stay in Lemberg. But he decides to stay. "I was almost embarrassed about how positively [Himmler] talks about me", Otto reports to Charlotte. But life is not perfect. Manual labour proves to be difficult to find, because, as he writes home to her, "the Jews are being deported in increasing numbers, and it's so awfully hard to get powder for the tennis court". As the deportations and exterminations proceed, Charlotte writes of picnics and concerts. It is this disconnect – between horror and beauty - that makes so compelling and disconcerting a read in these diaries and letters.

Carefully read, Charlotte's diaries reveal other secrets. Working as a volunteer nurse at a hospital in Lviv, she records in an English that Otto cannot read that she has lost her heart to a young soldier. And in the spring of 1942, exactly as the Final Solution is being implemented, she actually falls in love with Otto's boss, Hans Frank. I send the pages to Frank's son, Niklas. "Sensational!" he writes back, mischievously, "perhaps Horst and I are brothers."

The letters trace the last bitter months and weeks of the war. Even at the most acute moments, as the Red Army approaches Lemberg and the end nears, Charlotte and Otto find time to write to each other, and to hope. She is ever the Anglophile. "The British are more nationalist than the Germans", she writes in 1932. Charlotte imagines a new ally in the struggle against the dreaded Soviets. "I so hope the English will be fed up and unite with us", she writes. But there is an impediment: the Jews, "[they're] always getting involved, contaminating everything".

On 9 May 1945, the war is over. Otto is indicted for mass murder and he just disappears. His name is in the papers, indicted as a "wanted war criminal" with his friend Seyss-Inquart, who is caught, put on trial at

^{24.} Sands, The Ratline, 81, 84.

^{25.} Sands, The Ratline, 93.

Nuremburg, convicted, and executed.²⁶ To survive, Otto now has to rely on Charlotte. The tables are turned. A new chapter opens. Evasion and escape require new friends and allies, in the Vatican and beyond. Charlotte's papers provide secret details of Otto's escape, including the time he spent hiding in the Austrian mountains with a young companion, a former SS soldier, Burkhardt Rathmann, known as Buko.

I ask Horst about Buko. What did he do during the war? What was he like? Why did he help your father? "You want to know about Buko?" Horst asks. I nod.

"Well, I can answer your questions and tell you everything about Buko", he continues. "Or we could just telephone him." Unbelievably, in 2017, Buko Rathmann was still alive, 92-years-old. And Horst and I did visit him. He told me all about how they escaped, hid in the mountains for three years, moving from hut to hut; how they followed every day of the Nuremberg trials from a great distance; how they read of the outcome, the convictions, the sentences of death, the hangings of all of Otto's friends and colleagues: Hans Frank, Seyss-Inquart, and Ernst Kaltenbrunner (1903–1946).

"How did Otto react to news of the hangings?" I inquired. "Vae victis", Buko said. To the victor the spoils. As Buko spoke to me, I had my eye on a small black and white photograph on the bookshelf behind him. It was a man, seated, pensive, with a swastika wrapped around his arm. It was a photograph of Adolf Hitler.

After Otto left Buko in the autumn of 1948, he made his way south to Salzburg, Innsbruck, across the Dolomites into Italy, using a false identity: he took the name Alfredo Reinhardt, an Austrian acquaintance, also in the SS, who escaped to Argentina in 1947. The correspondence with Charlotte provides details: the friends and lovers who provide refuge and assistance, the dramatic arrival in Rome, greeted by senior Vatican figures, including a "very positive [...] religious gentleman" who has connections right to the very top. From this correspondence, which is all anonymized, we eventually work out who he met with and hung out with, what the Americans were up to in Rome, who their new friends and allies were, and how the new war – the Cold War – ensnared Otto, and what exactly the Americans knew about his whereabouts, and when. The path to the ratline comes into view, and it is a troubling one.

So troubling, in fact, that I took counsel from my neighbour in North London, the writer of spy novels, John le Carré (1931–2020).²⁷ He invites

^{26.} Sands, *The Ratline*, 144–145.

^{27.} Philippe Sands, "John le Carré: Writer, Spy, Neighbor, Friend", *The New York Times*, 15 December 2020, https://www.nytimes.com/2020/12/15/opinion/john-le-carre-spy-novelist. html, accessed 2022-12-19.

me to tea. I come with six small cakes, a handful of Otto's letters, and some photographs. We sit in his living room, as the sun streams in across papers laid out on the sofa and a low table, and he says to me, "I was there in 1949". "I didn't know that", I said. "I was a young British soldier and my job was to interrogate Nazis." "For what purpose", I ask, "to prosecute them?" "No", he replies, "my job was to recruit them, and it was bewildering. I'd been brought up to hate Nazis and that stuff, and all of a sudden, I'm told that we've turned on a sixpence and the great new enemy is the Soviet Union, the Nazis are our friends; it was very perplexing."

That was just three years after the end of the Nuremberg trial, which offers a different sort of context.

Cognome Reinhardt Nome Alfredo Padre di Giovanni Madre Carolina Roithme Nato il 6.6.1910 a Appiano Stato civile coniugato NazionalitàIt. Opt. Germ. Professione Ingegnere Residenza Appiano Stazione Nr.20 Via. Appianc 1193 MAR. CONNOTATI E CONTRASSEGNI SALIENTI Statura Media | Eocca regokar Impronta del dita indice sinistro Capelli bruni Mento Fronte regolare Baiba rasa Ochi grigi Vino regola Nato regolare Colorilo Sano Segni part. nessuno

Figure 5. Burkhardt "Buko" Rathmann, also known as Alfredo Reinhardt.

Part II – Fascination

Why did I, an international lawyer, engage in this project? What is it about the Wächters that captured my imagination? There are no simple answers to these questions, but it seems clear that it goes to the interrelationship of matters of memory and identity. History as a journey, to better understand who we are, and what we might be capable of. My interest in the Wächters is surely a consequence of the connection with my own family from Vienna and Lemberg. Wächter was directly involved in actions that contributed to the extermination of my grandfather's family. To excavate the memories of others is to fill gaps and replace silences.

There is too the implication of Otto Wächter's story for our conceptions of justice and for the present. Wächter died alone in the Vatican-run Santo Spirito hospital. With the help of a Vatican Bishop and a Cardinal, I got to visit that remarkable room. He was charged, but never caught, tried, or convicted,²⁸ which creates an important space for Horst. "All the guilty ones have been judged", he once said to me. As none of the lists of those tried and convicted include his father's name, it followed that he must be an innocent man. That is the untold story of Nuremberg, and the untold story of every other expression of formalized international criminal justice: Rwanda, Yugoslavia, Argentina, Chile, Kosovo, and so on and so forth. One of the unintended consequences of more or less every legislative or judicial act. By memorializing certain facts in the Nuremberg judgment, you inadvertently memorialize the acts of others by silencing them, and this allowed Charlotte to live the rest of her life on the constructed artifice that her husband was actually a decent man, a "reality" she passed on to her son. As you will discover, however, in *The Ratline*, the baton of innocence is not passed on endlessly to all the future generations. The Wächter's grand-daughter takes another view.

There is, too – to explain my interest in the Wächters – the connection with my own work, the cases that I do before international courts and tribunals. A year ago, I pondered these matters, sitting in the International Court of Justice in The Hague. I was the lead counsel for The Gambia in the case against Myanmar on the Rohingya.²⁹ I sat literally a few feet from Aung San Suu Kyi, the Nobel Peace laureate, as she tried to persuade the judges that the Myanmar military's actions against the Rohingya community might be excessive – the odd war crime here and there, perhaps, she acknowledged somewhat grudgingly – but not acts of genocide. Not one of the seventeen judges was persuaded.³⁰ How could she not see the facts as others did? Some who know her believe the reason may lie in matters

^{28.} See Sands, The Ratline, 3.

^{29.} See, for example, "Myanmar Coup: Aung San Suu Kyi Detained as Military Seizes Control", *BBC News*, 1 February 2021, https://www.bbc.com/news/world-asia-55882489, accessed 2022-12-19; Bill Chappell & Jaclyn Diaz, "Myanmar Coup: With Aung San Suu Kyi Detained, Military Takes Over Government", *NPR*, 1 February 2021, https://www.npr. org/2021/02/01/962758188/myanmar-coup-military-detains-aung-san-suu-kyi-plans-new-election-in-2022, accessed 2022-12-19.

^{30.} Application of Convention on the Prevention and Punishment of the Crime of Genocide (The Gambia v. Myanmar), Order, 2020 I.C.J. 25 (23 January).

of family, arising from her relationship with her father, who was the architect of Burmese independence, the founder of the Tatmadaw (Myanmar's armed forces), and was assassinated six months before independence.³¹ As she addressed the Court, just a few feet from me, looking impeccable with flowers in her hair, speaking so fluently, I thought of Horst and Charlotte.

What about my interest in the Wächters as individuals? I suppose in some way that interest is also connected to the legal issues of crimes against humanity and genocide, the former about individuals, the latter about groups. If we are on the subject of groups, what group is more important than family?

As regards Otto, I begin *The Ratline* with a quote from the wonderful Spanish writer Javier Cercas: "It is more important to understand the butcher than the victim."³² Why did Otto do what he did? And this is perhaps the big question that I and so many others are chasing: how is it that a highly intelligent, educated, cultured human being could become embroiled in acts of mass murder? We ask ourselves the same question now in relation to current events. Why people do things are not necessarily questions for the judges, who are concerned only with what he did and did not do. But there is surely a bigger question: why, *warum, pourquoi*?

The answers to such questions do not reside in the judgments of courts. They live in the personal archives, in letters and diaries, in poems and notes. In the personal correspondence we can find clues. Wächter crossed lines, and one of the lessons I draw from this research is that if you cross one line and get away with it, it is easier to cross the next. He was ideological, ambitious, weak, narcissistic – a toxic combination. And his evil is not the "banality of evil", to take Hannah Arendt's (1906–1975) words;³³ Otto Wächter knew exactly what he was doing, and he embraced the horrors. So did Charlotte. The silence of the family documents is testament to their own awareness.

What of Charlotte? She is the most fascinating of characters, the beating heart of a family story of international criminality. She knew everything, was complicit, embraced the horrors. She loved her man through everything he did.

^{31.} See Maung Maung, *A Trial in Burma: The Assassination of Aung San*, The Hague 1962; Hannah Beech, "Inside Myanmar's Army: 'They See Protesters as Criminals'", *The New York Times*, 29 May 2021, https://www.nytimes.com/2021/03/28/world/asia/myanmar-army-protests.html, accessed 2022-12-19.

^{32.} Sands, The Ratline, xiii.

^{33.} Hannah Arendt, Eichmann in Jerusalem: A Report on the Banality of Evil,

Harmondsworth 1977, 252: "It was as though in those last minutes he was summing up the lesson that this long course in human wickedness had taught us – the lesson of fearsome, word-and-thought-defying *banality of evil.*"

And Horst? He is in a state of absolute denial. Why? Love blinds. Over time, it transforms perceptions of reality, and then reality itself becomes a new truth. Like me, Horst was born into a family of silences. When the war ended, he – as Charlotte's favorite – was chosen to be protected, nourished, loved, and told that his father was a fine and decent man: "I'm so grateful that there are still people today who [...] have positive things to say about my husband", Charlotte wrote. "I don't want my children to believe that he is a war criminal who murdered hundreds of Jews", she told her son.

And so Horst does not want to believe it either, even if he knows the facts point elsewhere. He does not deny what happened, or his father's connection to the horrors, or his mother's support of the father. He just wants to characterize the facts differently, as Charlotte did. Sound familiar? It is a way of being able to live, a means of survival – hiding from the truth. "Tomorrow I have to have another 50 Poles shot", Otto wrote to Charlotte. For Horst, unbelievably, that is proof of the opposite. You see, Horst said to me once, "it says 'I *have* to have them shot', not 'I *want* to have them shot'. You have no proof that he was complicit". That is Horst's interpretation.

In the end, I did find the proof. It took three years, and I included three dreadful photographs at the end of the book, of Otto Wächter overseeing the act of killing fifty people. The first photo shows a group of twenty-five young men and boys, in the snow, waiting to be shot. The second shows the actual moment of shooting. The third shows Otto in charge, the commanding presence, in that fine, long black leather coat that Charlotte loved so much.

I cannot share Horst's characterization of the facts, yet curiously I feel an affection for him, and I respect his open spirit, his willingness to engage in this project with me, to respond to suggestions that looted objects that his mother passed on to him should be returned to their rightful owners. I feel, also, anxiety for the price he has paid for sharing with me these personal papers, for allowing me to write this book, cutting himself off, as a consequence, from so much of the rest of his family. If I am able to be generous to him, he who protects the reputation of the father who was so deeply involved in the killing of my grandfather's family, it is because I constantly recall a scene early in *What Our Fathers Did.*³⁴ When he talks about his sixth birthday, in April 1945, he starts to weep. He is a child who has been damaged. He is another victim of war.

^{34.} In the United Kingdom, the film is titled *My Nazi Legacy*. See Peter Bradshaw, "*My Nazi Legacy* Review – The Poison of the Past Lives On", *The Guardian*, 19 November 2015, https://www.theguardian.com/film/2015/nov/19/my-nazi-legacy-review-the-poison-of-the-past-lives-on, accessed 2022-12-19.



Figures 6 & 7. Execution site with Otto Wächter in charge.

The consequences go on, so do the silences, so do the remarkable communications I receive.

A month after *Die Rattenlinie* was published in German, on Christmas Day 2020, I received an e-mail from Vienna. The correspondent introduced herself as Marie-Theres Arnbom, a historian and the great-granddaughter of Robert Winterstein (1874–1940), in whose house she lived, in the parish of Pötzleinsdorf, on the outskirts of the city. A renowned lawyer, Winterstein served as Procurator General (chief public prosecutor) of Austria until March 1938, when, following the Nazi takeover and the country's incorporation into the Third Reich, he was fired, stripped of his pension, arrested (on Kristallnacht), and deported to Buchenwald, from where he never returned. His family retained a memento of his removal, a type-written letter, dated 14 September 1938, closed with a confident but indecipherable signature. For decades, the family wondered about the identity of the writer.

Eighty years later, the mystery was solved, Arnbom wrote, thanks to *The Ratline*. A Christmas gift. The book mentioned her great-grandfather, one of more than sixteen thousand Austrian civil servants removed from their posts for the wrong of being Jewish. The *Säuberungsaktion*, or cleansing action, was implemented by Ottso Wächter. His signature, the book confirmed, graced the unhappy Winterstein family heirloom.

The deciphering of the intricate signature was not, however, Arnbom's reason for writing. I am writing to you, she explained, because my neighbour and friend of many years is a granddaughter of Otto Wächter. "What a strange situation", Arnbom mused, "you have known a family for so long, are on friendly terms, and suddenly there is another connection that radically changes the relationship."

The legacy is a long one, and one about which psychoanalysts have some knowledge. I opened *East West Street* with a quote from Nicolas Abraham (1919–1975) and Maria Torok (1925–1998), the Hungarian psychoanalysts concerned with the effects on the descendants of injury or catastrophe felt by parents. "What haunts are not the dead, but the gaps left within us by the secrets of others."³⁵ But I left the last words of *The Ratline* to Magdalena, another granddaughter of Otto and Charlotte, the only child of Horst. "My grandfather was a mass murderer",³⁶ Magdalena says to me and allows me to put the words in the book. For those six words, Horst has disinherited his daughter.

^{35.} Sands, *East West Street*, vii, quoting Nicolas Abraham & Mária Török, "Notes on the Phantom: A Complement to Freud's Metapsychology", in Nicolas Abraham & Mária Török (eds.), *The Shell and the Kernel: Renewals of Psychoanalysis*, vol. 1, Chicago 1994, 171.

^{36.} Sands, The Ratline, 348.

Horst and I are bonded by a sense of dislocation, and to events distant in time and place. We have different points of departure – we are opposite sides of a shared story, yet our paths crossed, and we have somehow arrived at an endpoint. It has been a most curious waltz. It has been a constant movement, a sort of double act, in which each seeks to lead the other.

What these events and realities mean, and what they do to matters of memory and identity, to our sense of justice and wrongdoing, to the secrets of others that haunt us, is another, complex matter, one that will be relevant in all places.

SUMMARY

The horrors of the Nazi regime throughout the 1930s and 1940s are wellknown. Less well-known is how international law was relied on to bring those responsible to justice, and the personal stories of the individuals who tried to escape accountability. With reference to a significant volume of personal communications and material this article tells the story of Otto Wächter, the leading Nazi who fled justice after the Second World War, and his son Horst, who struggles to consider his father as anything other than a good man. The story provides a unique way to understand fundamental concepts in international law, as well as to discuss unresolved issues such as the importance of identity and how best to achieve accountability. Recent events, including the Russian invasion of Ukraine and the crimes committed against the Rohingya people, make such issues as pressing today as they were in the 1940s. On a more personal level, the story allows a reflection on family love and history, individual motivations, and coming to terms with the atrocities of the past.