A note on Kammu perception verbs

Jan-Olof Svantesson

In this note I will describe a semantic field, perception verbs in the Austroasiatic language Kammu. I will rely heavily on the format and concepts developed by Åke Viberg (1982a) and I have also used his questionnaire (Viberg 1982b) to elicit perception verbs in Kammu.

Viberg classifies basic perception verbs in two ways, according to the five sense modalities (sight, hearing, touch, taste, smell), and according to the "dynamic meaning" of the verb (activity, experience and copulative). Activity and experience are experiencer-based (have the experiencer as subject) while copulative is source-based. Activity refers to a consciously controlled process, while experience refers to a state that is not controlled. This is reflected in the fact that while activity verbs can be uttered in imperative or prohibitive form, experience verbs cannot. For instance, in Kammu the sentences snjeey "Look!" or taa kmñen "Don't listen!" are possible, but "kùuñ "See!" or "taa mèc "Don't hear!" are not.

The three dynamic meanings are exemplified for "sight" by the following sentences (Viberg 1982b):

A(ctivity): Peter looked at the birds E(xperience): Peter saw the birds C(opulative): Peter looked happy

Thus, fifteen basic terms are obtained. They are illustrated by the following sentences, which were translated by a Kammu informant (Kam Raw) from Viberg's questionnaire:

Sight: A Làay sŋéay síim "Làay looked at the bird."

E Làay kùuñ síim "Làay saw the bird."

Làay see bird

C Làay mían cèa là hrñiam "Làay looked happy."

Làay like PTC happy

Hearing: A Laay kmñen síim (yaam) "Laay listened to the bird Làay listen bird (call) (sing)." Làay mèc síim (yàam) "Làay heard the bird (sing)." Làay perceive bird (call) Làay mían cèe lè hrñiam "Làay sounded happy." Làay like PTC happy Touch: A Laay mfap téep tèe "Làay feit his shirt." Làav feel shirt RFL Làay mèc klàan yèt tàa kntrùum chan tèe Làay perceive stone stay at underside foot RFL "Laay felt a stone under his foot." tésp lmèst Intrèen "The shirt felt smooth." Č shirt smooth EXP A Làay cìim sηmàh Taste: "Làay tasted the food." Làay taste food Làay mèc snmàh cán máar "Làay tasted salt in the food." E Làay perceive food bitter salt С symah lam "The food tasted good." food tasty sŋmàh cáŋ máar "The food tasted of salt." food bitter salt Smell: A Làay hm?ir sŋmàh "Làay smelt the food." Làay smell food Làay mèc ráan s?óon h?ír tàa prì E Làay perceive flower tree smell in forest "Làay smelt flowers in the forest." C sηmàh h?ír "The food smelt good." food smell-good sηmàh h?ú "The food stank." food stink

"The food smelt of onions."

snmàh h?ír hóom

food smell onion

The perception verbs are summarized in the following table:

	activity	experience	copulative
sight	sŋéay	kùuñ	m í an
hearing	kmñèŋ	mèc	m í an
touch	mí ap	mèc	-
taste	ciim	mèc	-
smeil	hm?⊬r	mèc	h?ír, h?ú

For activity, there are five different verbs, according to the sense involved, but for experience there are only two, one for "see" and one for the remaining four senses. The copulatives are more complicated: for "look" and "sound" the verb mfan "(be) like" combined with the aspect particle cas is often used. This particle basically denotes that the speaker does not know whether the state or action denoted by the following verb takes place or not. (Thus it is often used for future tense, but this is not the case here; see also Svantesson 1984.) The meaning of the combination mfan cas is thus something like "seem".

For touch and taste there are no specific copulative verbs, and various paraphrases are used. For smell there are two basic terms, one for "smell good" and one for "smell bad". These and other hyponyms will be described below.

Polysemy

The dynamic meanings experience and activity are lexicalized by two different verbs for each sense modality and in no case are these two verbs morphologically related to each other. This contrasts with Vietnamese, the only Austroasiatic language in Viberg's sample where the experience verbs are formed from activity verbs by the addition of a serial verb.

Viberg has shown that there is a polysemy hierarchy within the sense modalities in different languages:

$$\begin{array}{c} & \text{smell} \\ \text{sight} > \text{hearing} > \text{touch} > \\ & \text{taste} \end{array}$$

This means that "a verb having a basic meaning belonging to a sense modality higher (to the left) in the hierarchy, can get an extended meaning that covers some (or all) of the sense modalities lower in the hierarchy" (Viberg 1982a:15).

As seen above, the activity verbs are lexicalized differently for each sense modality, but for experience, the verb mec is used for all senses except sight. If the context allows a choice between sense modalities, mec is usually interpreted as "hear", which is thus its basic meaning. Thus the Kammu data fit in with this hierarchy, as well as with Viberg's observation that polysemy is most common among the experiences. Languages with the same experience polysemy pattern as Kammu (i.e. with a separate verb for sight and with the verb for hearing extended to the remaining senses) are common in Viberg's language sample and include Hausa and Turkish.

The only perception verbs which are morphologically related in Kammu are hm?\r"smell (activity)" and h?\r"smell (copulative)". The infix -m- regularly forms causatives in Kammu (see Svantesson 1983), and the formal relation between copulative and activity verbs is the same as that between an intransitive verb and its causative; the first is constructed patient/source - V, and the second agent - V - patient/source.

Hyponymy

Hyponyms for "look" (activity) and for "smell" (copulative) seem to be especially common, and I have collected some of these here. For "look", the following hyponyms have been noted:

```
cŋkléer "look through a hole, look into, peep"
kléer = cŋkléer
smdíŋ "stare at"
smlìk "glance at, spy on"
smlàŋ "look to the side"
smŋàh "look upwards" (cf. ŋàh "bent upwards")
sm²yée "look with one eye" (cf. ʔyée "one-eyed")
```

Many of these words have the minor syllable sm-, which in the last two cases seems to be a kind of derivational prefix.

For copulative "smell" there is no indigenous neutral verb, but one has to specify if the smell is good (h^2ir) or bad (h^2i) . The Lao loanword saap seems to be neutral. There are several other words for different kinds of smell:

```
h%r "smell good"
h%ú "smell bad"
ŋésl "to stink (of animals, dirty clothes, etc.)"
p%ial "to stink (of fish)"
sáap "smell" (Lao loan)
?wfas = ŋésl
```

These words function both as verbs and as nouns (which is not very common in Kammu):

```
ká p?ìal
fish stink "The fish stinks."
ò mèc p?ìal ká
I perceive stench fish" "I smell the stench of fish."
```

Bibliography

Svantesson, Jan-Olof. 1983. Kammu phonology and morphology. Lund: Gleerup.

Syantesson, Jan-Olof. 1984. "Sketch of the tense-mood-aspect system of Kammu". In Selected working papers from the tense-mood-aspect project, ed. Östen Dahl and Dora Kós-Dienes, Stockholm, p. 44-48.

Viberg, Ake. 1982a. The verbs of perception. A typological study.

Stockholm: Institute of Linguistics

Viberg, Åke. 1982b. Questionnaire: verbs of perception. Stockholm: Institute of Linguistics.